

Low-Latency Boolean Functions & Bijective S-boxes

Shahram Rasoolzadeh, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands FSE 2023, March 20, 2023

ESCADA

S-boxes

- used for substitutions, to provide confusion and non-linearity
- \bullet n- to m-bit vectorial Boolean functions

S-boxes

- used for substitutions, to provide confusion and non-linearity
- *n* to m-bit vectorial Boolean functions
- $F: \mathbb{F}_2^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2^m$ with $F = (f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$
- \bullet coordinate functions: f_i
- component functions: $F_{\alpha} := \langle F, \alpha \rangle \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i f_i$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0\}$

S-boxes

- used for substitutions, to provide confusion and non-linearity
- *n* to m-bit vectorial Boolean functions
- $F: \mathbb{F}_2^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2^m$ with $F = (f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$
- \bullet coordinate functions: f_i
- component functions: $F_{\alpha} := \langle F, \alpha \rangle \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i f_i$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0\}$

Balanced S-boxes

• each output value occurs uniformly, i.e., 2^{n-m} times

S-boxes

- used for substitutions, to provide confusion and non-linearity
- *n* to m-bit vectorial Boolean functions
- $F: \mathbb{F}_2^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2^m$ with $F = (f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$
- \bullet coordinate functions: f_i
- component functions: $F_{\alpha} := \langle F, \alpha \rangle \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i f_i$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0\}$

Balanced S-boxes

- each output value occurs uniformly, i.e., 2^{n-m} times
- equivalent to each component function is a balanced Boolean function

Cryptographic Properties

• uniformity: $unifF) =$ $\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} \# \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid F(x) \oplus F(x \oplus \alpha) = \beta\}$

Cryptographic Properties

• uniformity:

 $\text{lin}(F) =$

• linearity: $\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0\}}$ $\left|2 \cdot \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle = F_\beta(x)\} - 2^n\right|$

Cryptographic Properties

- uniformity:
- linearity:

maximum number of input variables in each monomial of the ANF representation of each coordinate function

• algebraic degree:

Cryptographic Properties

- uniformity:
- linearity:

maximum number of input variables in each monomial of the ANF representation of each coordinate function

• algebraic degree:

Implementation Properties

- area latency power
-
- gate count gate depth ...
- -

Cryptographic Properties

- uniformity:
- linearity:

maximum number of input variables in each monomial of the ANF representation of each coordinate function

• algebraic degree:

Implementation Properties • area • latency • power • gate count • gate depth • \ldots Implementation Complexities • gate count comp. • gate depth comp. • multiplicative comp. • ...

$$
F = P_{out} \circ G \circ P_{in}
$$

• Bit-Permutation: with P_{in} and P_{out} being bijective bit-permutation functions

Eq. Fun. $\leq n! \cdot m!$

- Bit-Permutation: $F = P_{out} \circ G \circ P_{in}(\cdot + \alpha) + \beta$ with P_{in} and P_{out} being bijective bit-permutation functions
- Ext. Bit-Perm.:

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq n! \cdot m! \cdot 2^{n+m}
$$

- Bit-Permutation:
- Ext. Bit-Perm.:
- Linear:

$$
\digamma = \mathit{L}_{\mathit{out}} \circ \mathit{G} \circ \mathit{L}_{\mathit{in}}
$$

with L_{in} and L_{out} being bijective linear functions

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2^m - 2^i)
$$

- Bit-Permutation:
- Ext. Bit-Perm.:
- Linear:
- Affine:

$$
F = A_{out} \circ G \circ A_{in}
$$

with A_{in} and A_{out} being bijective affine functions

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2^m - 2^i) \cdot 2^{n+m}
$$

- Bit-Permutation:
- Ext. Bit-Perm.:
- Linear:
- Affine:
- Ext. Affine:

$$
F = A_{out} \circ G \circ A_{in} + L
$$
\nwith A_{in} and A_{out} being bijective affine functions and L being a linear function

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2^m - 2^i) \cdot 2^{nm+n+m}
$$

- Bit-Permutation:
- Ext. Bit-Perm.: $F = A_{out} \circ G \circ A_{in} + L$ with A_{in} and A_{out} being bijective affine functions and L being a
- Linear:
- Affine:
- Ext. Affine:

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2^m - 2^i) \cdot 2^{nm+n+m}
$$

linear function

Extended Affine Equivalent Examples

- linearity / uniformity
- algebraic degree (of non-linear functions)
- multiplicative count / depth complexities

- Bit-Permutation:
- Ext. Bit-Perm.: $F = A_{\text{out}} \circ G \circ A_{\text{in}} + L$ with A_{in} and A_{out} being bijective affine functions and L being a
- Linear:
- Affine:
- Ext. Affine:

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2^m - 2^i) \cdot 2^{nm+n+m}
$$

linear function

Bit-Permutation Equivalent Examples

- circuit implementation costs: area / latency / power
- gate depth / count complexities

- Bit-Permutation:
- Ext. Bit-Perm.: $F = A_{\text{out}} \circ G \circ A_{\text{in}} + L$ with A_{in} and A_{out} being bijective affine functions and L being a
- Linear:
- Affine: i i
- Ext. Affine:

Eq. Fun.
$$
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2^m - 2^i) \cdot 2^{nm+n+m}
$$

linear function

Extended Bit-Permutation Semi-Equivalent Examples

- By accepting small tolerances,
- and due to combing small circuits/functions to build larger circuits/functions,

bit-perm. equivalent properties are extended bit-perm. semi-equivalent properties.

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

Latency Complexity

Latency

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

• circuit-specific property

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

-
- circuit-specific property technology-specific property

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

• circuit-specific property • technology-specific property

Gate Depth Complexity

the minimum possible value for the longest path (concerning the number of gates used in the path) from any input to any output for implementing the function

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

• circuit-specific property • technology-specific property

Gate Depth Complexity

the minimum possible value for the longest path (concerning the number of gates used in the path) from any input to any output for implementing the function in the basis of all gates with fan-in number 1 or 2

Latency Complexity

Latency

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

• circuit-specific property • technology-specific property

Gate Depth Complexity

the minimum possible value for the longest path (concerning the number of gates used in the path) from any input to any output for implementing the function

in the basis of all gates with fan-in number 1 or 2

the time required to compute all the outputs of a circuit

• circuit-specific property • technology-specific property

Gate Depth Complexity

the minimum possible value for the longest path (concerning the number of gates used in the path) from any input to any output for implementing the function in the basis of all gates with fan-in number 1 or 2

Latency Complexity

the gate depth complexity in the basis of {NAND2, NOR2, INV} without counting INVs

General Structure of a Circuit w.r.t. Latency Complexity

Proposition 1

Any Boolean function $f(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ with latency complexity d can be implemented by a circuit of the following structure:

Building Representative Functions of \mathcal{F}_{nd}

Data: $\mathcal{F}_{n',n'}$ for all $n' \leq n$ and $d' \leq d$ // the sets of all full-dependent $n'-$ bit Boolean functions with latency complexity d' **Result:** $\mathcal{F}_{n,d}$ $\mathbf{1} \ \mathcal{F} \leftarrow \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n,d} \leftarrow \emptyset$ 2 for $n_0 \leftarrow 1$ to n do for $n_1 \leftarrow \max(1, n - n_0)$ to n_0 do $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ for each $d_0, d_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ do $\overline{4}$ if $\mathcal{F}_{n_0,d_0} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n_1,d_1} \neq \emptyset$ and $(d_0 = d - 1 \text{ or } d_1 = d - 1)$ then 5 **for
each** $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{n_1}$ **if** π follows the restrictions **do** // for $i < j$, $\pi[i] \neq \pi[j]$, $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ // and if $n_0 \leq \pi_1[i]$ and $n_0 \leq \pi_1[i]$, then $\pi_1[i] \leq \pi_1[i]$. Compute the corresponding bit-permutation function P . $\overline{7}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n_1}$ if α follows the restrictions do \mathbf{R} // for each i such that $n_0 \leq \pi_1[i]$, $\alpha_1[i]$ must be 0. for each $f_0^* \in \mathcal{F}_{n_0,d_0}$ and $f_1^* \in \mathcal{F}_{n_1,d_1}$ do α $\left[\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \mathcal{F} \cup \{f_0^* \overline{\wedge} f_1^*(P(\cdot) \oplus \alpha)\}\right]$ 10 11 for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ do $\left\{\n\begin{array}{l}\n\mathcal{F}_{n,d} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_{n,d} \cup \{\text{COMPUTEREPRESENTATIVE}(f)\}\n\end{array}\n\right\}$ 12 13 for $n' \leftarrow 1$ to n do for $d' \leftarrow 0$ to d do 14 if $(n', d') \neq (n, d)$ then 15 $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \quad & \mathcal{F}_{n,d} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_{n,d} - \mathcal{F}_{n',d'} \ \hline \end{array}$ 16

Number of Representative Functions in $\mathcal{F}_{n,d}$

 $8/18$

Finding All Possible Lowest-Depth Implementations of a Function

Data: \mathcal{F}_{d}^{*} for all d' // all sets of *n*-bit representative Boolean functions (not necessarily full-dependent) with latency complexity d' Result: τ // all possible implementations of the given Boolean function **1 Function** $FINDALLCIRCUTS(f)$: $\overline{2}$ **return** the corresponding x_i or $\neg x_i$ \mathbf{a} $\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \emptyset$, $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{x}} \leftarrow \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{y}} \leftarrow \emptyset$ \overline{A} for $d' \leftarrow 0$ to $d-1$ do 5 for each $q \in \{q \mid q \text{ is equivalent to } q^* \in \mathcal{F}_{d'}^*\}$ do R. if $\neg f \wedge g = \neg f$ then $\overline{7}$ $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\wedge}} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\wedge}} \cup \{g\}$ 8 if $\neg f \lor q = \neg f$ then \mathbf{Q} $\downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\vee}} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\vee}} \cup \{g\}$ 10 foreach $q, h \in A_{\overline{a}}$ do 11 12 if $a \wedge h = \neg f$ then $\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cup \{(\text{FINDALLCIRCUTS}(g), \text{FINDALLCIRCUTS}(h), \text{NAND})\}$ 13 foreach $a, h \in A_{\overline{a}}$ do 14 if $q \vee h = \neg f$ then 15 $\left[\quad \mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cup \{ (\text{FINDALLCIRCUTS}(g), \text{FINDALLCIRCUTS}(h), \text{NOR}) \}$ 16 17 if $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ then \mathcal{I} this means that the latency complexity of f is $d' + 1$ return $\mathcal I$ 18

• 3-bit: $d = 3$ for all of them.

- 3-bit: $d = 3$ for all of them.
- $4-bit:$

except for Midori- s_0 and χ_4 with $d = 3$, others have $d = 4$ or $d = 5$.

- 3-bit: $d = 3$ for all of them.
- $4-bit:$

except for Midori- s_0 and χ_4 with $d = 3$. others have $d = 4$ or $d = 5$.

 $• 5-bit:$

 χ_5 has $d = 3$ with $\ell = 16$ and $u = 8$, while APN S-boxes such as Fides-5 have $d = 5$.

- 3-bit: $d = 3$ for all of them.
- $4-hit·$

except for Midori- s_0 and χ_4 with $d = 3$. others have $d = 4$ or $d = 5$.

 $• 5-bit:$

 χ_5 has $d = 3$ with $\ell = 16$ and $u = 8$, while APN S-boxes such as Fides-5 have $d = 5$.

 $• 6-bit:$

 χ_5 has $d = 3$ with $\ell = 32$ and $u = 16$, Speedy S-box has $d = 4$ with $\ell = 24$ and $u = 8$.

- 3-bit: $d = 3$ for all of them.
- $4-hit·$

except for Midori- s_0 and χ_4 with $d = 3$. others have $d = 4$ or $d = 5$.

 $• 5-bit:$

 χ_5 has $d = 3$ with $\ell = 16$ and $u = 8$, while APN S-boxes such as Fides-5 have $d = 5$.

 $• 6$ -hit:

 χ_5 has $d = 3$ with $\ell = 32$ and $u = 16$. Speedy S-box has $d = 4$ with $\ell = 24$ and $u = 8$.

 \bullet 7- and 8-bit:

except χ_7 and χ_8 there is no S-box with $d \leq 5$.

Criteria

- **1** latency complexity
- ² linearity
- ³ uniformity
- **4** algebraic degree (quadratic or maximum)

Criteria

- **1** latency complexity
- **2** linearity
- ³ uniformity
- **4** algebraic degree (quadratic or maximum)

Method of Building S-boxes:

• Stepping over the coordinates of S-box

Criteria

- **1** latency complexity
- **2** linearity
- ³ uniformity
- **4** algebraic degree (quadratic or maximum)

Method of Building S-boxes:

- Stepping over the coordinates of S-box
- $S = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$

Criteria

- **1** latency complexity
- **2** linearity
- ³ uniformity
- **4** algebraic degree (quadratic or maximum)

Method of Building S-boxes:

- Stepping over the coordinates of S-box
- $S = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$
- \mathcal{F} : the set of all Boolean functions satisfying the criteria 1, 2 (and 4)
- \mathcal{R}_1 : the set of all representatives from $\mathcal F$

• Set
$$
i = 2
$$
, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$

- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

1 Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$

 \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

2 If F fulfills the criteria, add it to S .

1 Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$

 \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

2 If F fulfills the criteria, add it to S .

 \bigcirc For each $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, set new = 1

- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

- \bigcirc For each $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, set new $= 1$
	- **⊕** For each $F_0 \in \mathcal{R}_i$, check if F_1 is equivalent to F_0 .
- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

 \bigcirc For each $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, set new $= 1$

⊕ For each $F_0 \in \mathcal{R}_i$, check if F_1 is equivalent to F_0 .

• If they are equivalent, set new $= 0$ and break the loop.

- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

 \bigcirc For each $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, set new $= 1$

⊕ For each $F_0 \in \mathcal{R}_i$, check if F_1 is equivalent to F_0 .

• If they are equivalent, set new $= 0$ and break the loop.

1 If new $= 1$, add F_1 to \mathcal{R}_i .

- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $S = \mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

 \bigcirc For each $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, set new $= 1$

⊕ For each $F_0 \in \mathcal{R}_i$, check if F_1 is equivalent to F_0 .

• If they are equivalent, set new $= 0$ and break the loop.

1 If new $= 1$, add F_1 to \mathcal{R}_i .

4 Increase *i*, if $i \leq m$. Set $S = \emptyset$ and go to step 4.

- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.
- **1** Set $i = 2$, and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$
- \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.
	- If F fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_i .

6 Set
$$
i = 2
$$
, and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$

 \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

• If F fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_i . \bigcirc Increase *i*, if $i \leq m$ go to step 4.

• Set
$$
i = 2
$$
, and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset$

 \bullet For each $F' \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1}$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F = F' \parallel f$.

• If F fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_i .

 \bigcirc Increase *i*, if $i \leq m$ go to step 4.

Improved Complexity

$$
Time = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |\mathcal{F}| \cdot |\mathcal{R}_i| \cdot (t_{\text{criterion check}} + p_i \cdot t_{\text{representative computation}})
$$

$$
Memory = |\mathcal{F}| + \max_i |\mathcal{R}_i|
$$

① Set
$$
\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset
$$

• For each
$$
f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1
$$
 and each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_2 = f_0 \parallel f_1$.

• Set
$$
\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset
$$

- **2** For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_2 = f_0 || f_1$.
	- **2** If F_2 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 , otherwise, choose another f_1 .

• Set
$$
\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset
$$

2 For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_2 = f_0 || f_1$.

- **2** If F_2 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 , otherwise, choose another f_1 .
- **2** For each $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_3 = F_2 || f_2$.

① Set
$$
\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset
$$

- **2** For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_2 = f_0 || f_1$.
	- **2** If F_2 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 , otherwise, choose another f_1 .
	- **4** For each $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_3 = F_2 || f_2$.
		- If F_3 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_3 . otherwise, choose another f_2 .

① Set
$$
\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset
$$

- **2** For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_2 = f_0 || f_1$.
	- **2** If F_2 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 , otherwise, choose another f_1 .
	- **1** For each $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_3 = F_2 || f_2$.
		- If F_3 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_3 , otherwise, choose another f_2 .
		- For each $f_3 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_4 = F_3 || f_3$...

① Set
$$
\mathcal{R}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{R}_m = \emptyset
$$

2 For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_2 = f_0 || f_1$.

- **2** If F_2 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 , otherwise, choose another f_1 .
- **2** For each $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_3 = F_2 || f_2$.
	- If F_3 fulfills the criteria, compute its representative and add it to \mathcal{R}_3 . otherwise, choose another f_2 .
	- For each $f_3 \in \mathcal{F}$, compute $F_4 = F_3 || f_3$...

$$
Time = |\mathcal{R}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \cdot (t_0 + p_1 \cdot t_1 + p_1 \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \cdot (t_0 + p_2 \cdot t_1 + p_2 \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \cdot (\ldots)))
$$

= $|\mathcal{R}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \cdot (t_0 \cdot (1 + p_1|\mathcal{F}| + p_1p_2|\mathcal{F}|^2 + \ldots) + t_1p_1 \cdot (1 + p_2|\mathcal{F}| + \ldots))$

$$
Memory = |\mathcal{F}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\mathcal{R}_i|
$$

14 / 18

 \bullet For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ **④** Compute $\mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger} = \{f_1 \in \mathcal{F} \mid (f_0 \parallel f_1) \text{ fulfills the criteria}\}$ \bullet For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$

④ Compute $\mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger} = \{f_1 \in \mathcal{F} \,|\, (f_0 \,\|\, f_1)$ fulfills the criteria} $\mathbf{\Phi}$ For each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1^\dagger$

■ Compute representative of $(f_0 || f_1)$ and add it to \mathcal{R}_2

4 For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$

④ Compute $\mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger} = \{f_1 \in \mathcal{F} \,|\, (f_0 \,\|\, f_1)$ fulfills the criteria} $\mathbf{\Phi}$ For each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1^\dagger$

■ Compute representative of $(f_0 || f_1)$ and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 **③** Compute $\mathcal{F}_2^{\dagger} = \{f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger} \,|\, (f_0 \,|\, f_1 \,|\, f_2)$ fulfills the criteria} **4** For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$

. . .

④ Compute $\mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger} = \{f_1 \in \mathcal{F} \,|\, (f_0 \,\|\, f_1)$ fulfills the criteria} $\mathbf{\Phi}$ For each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1^\dagger$

■ Compute representative of $(f_0 || f_1)$ and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 ❷ Compute $\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}_{2}=\{f_{2}\in \mathcal{F}^{\dagger}_{1}\,|\,(f_{0}\,\|\,f_{1}\,\|\,f_{2})$ fulfills the criteria} **3** For each $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\dagger}$

4 For each $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1$

. . .

④ Compute $\mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger} = \{f_1 \in \mathcal{F} \,|\, (f_0 \,\|\, f_1)$ fulfills the criteria} $\mathbf{\Phi}$ For each $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1^\dagger$

■ Compute representative of $(f_0 || f_1)$ and add it to \mathcal{R}_2 ❷ Compute $\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}_{2}=\{f_{2}\in \mathcal{F}^{\dagger}_{1}\,|\,(f_{0}\,\|\,f_{1}\,\|\,f_{2})$ fulfills the criteria} **3** For each $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\dagger}$

$$
Time = |\mathcal{R}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \cdot (t_0 + p_1 \cdot t_1 + p_1 \cdot |\mathcal{F}_1^{\dagger}| \cdot (t_0 + p_2 \cdot t_1 + p_2 \cdot |\mathcal{F}_2^{\dagger}| \cdot (\ldots)))
$$

= $|\mathcal{R}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \cdot (t_0 \cdot (1 + p_1^2 |\mathcal{F}| + p_1^2 p_2^2 |\mathcal{F}|^2 + \ldots) + t_1 p_1 \cdot (1 + p_1 p_2 |\mathcal{F}| + \ldots))$

Ordering Coordinates

- We are interested to find the functions up-to the selected equivalency.
- We can order the coordinates due to the output mapping in equivalency equation.

Ordering Coordinates

- We are interested to find the functions up-to the selected equivalency.
- We can order the coordinates due to the output mapping in equivalency equation.

Case of Extended Bit-Permutation Equivalence

 $f_0 < f_1 < \ldots < f_{m-1}$

Ordering Coordinates

- We are interested to find the functions up-to the selected equivalency.
- We can order the coordinates due to the output mapping in equivalency equation.

Case of Extended Bit-Permutation Equivalence

$$
f_0 < f_1 < \ldots < f_{m-1}
$$

Criteria Check

• Linearity: we only need to check linearity of 2^{x-2} Boolean functions.

Ordering Coordinates

- We are interested to find the functions up-to the selected equivalency.
- We can order the coordinates due to the output mapping in equivalency equation.

Case of Extended Bit-Permutation Equivalence

$$
f_0 < f_1 < \ldots < f_{m-1}
$$

Criteria Check

- Linearity: we only need to check linearity of 2^{x-2} Boolean functions.
- Uniformity: $\text{uni}(S_x) \leq 2^{m-x} \cdot \text{uni}(S_x || S_{m-x})$

Results

Latency Complexity $d = 2$

- 3-bit: 2 S-boxes with $\ell = 8$ and $\mu = 4$
- 4-bit: 1 S-box with $\ell = u = 16$
- 5-bit: 13 S-boxes with $\ell = u = 32$
- 6-bit: 19 S-boxes with $\ell = u = 64$
- 7-bit: 125 S-boxes with $\ell = u = 128$
- 8-bit: 181 S-boxes with $\ell = u = 256$

Results

Latency Complexity $d = 3$

- 3-bit: all the S-boxes
- 4-bit: 281 S-boxes with $\ell = 8$ and $\mu = 4$
- 5-bit: 13 S-boxes with $\ell = 16$ and $\mu = 6$
- 6-bit: 49 quadratic S-boxes with $\ell = 32$ and $u = 16$
- 7-bit: 10 quadratic S-boxes with $\ell = 64$ and $\mu = 32$
- 8-bit: 84 quadratic S-boxes with $\ell = 128$ and $u = 64$

Results

Latency Complexity $d = 4$

- 4-bit: all the Golden S-boxes: $\ell = 8$ and $\mu = 4$
- 5-bit: 2510 APN S-boxes: $\ell = 8$ and $\mu = 2$
- 6-bit: 908 quadratic S-boxes with $\ell = 16$ and $u = 4$ together with one cubic S-box with $\ell = 16$ and $\mu = 4$ used in BipBip TBC
- 7-bit: 134 quadratic S-boxes with $\ell = 32$ and $u = 16$

Summary

- an algorithm to find all the Boolean functions with low-latency complexity
- an algorithm to find all the possible circuit corresponding to the latency complexity
- an improved algorithm for building S-boxes up-to the given criteria
- several low-latency S-boxes with good cryptographic properties
- an algorithm to optimize the theoretical circuit for real ASIC implementation

Summary

- an algorithm to find all the Boolean functions with low-latency complexity
- an algorithm to find all the possible circuit corresponding to the latency complexity
- an improved algorithm for building S-boxes up-to the given criteria
- several low-latency S-boxes with good cryptographic properties
- an algorithm to optimize the theoretical circuit for real ASIC implementation

<https://gitlab.science.ru.nl/shahramr/LowLatencySBoxes.git>

Summary

- an algorithm to find all the Boolean functions with low-latency complexity
- an algorithm to find all the possible circuit corresponding to the latency complexity
- an improved algorithm for building S-boxes up-to the given criteria
- several low-latency S-boxes with good cryptographic properties
- an algorithm to optimize the theoretical circuit for real ASIC implementation

<https://gitlab.science.ru.nl/shahramr/LowLatencySBoxes.git>

Thank you for your attention!