FSE 2023 (Beijing and Kobe)

Hybrid Code Lifting on Space-Hard Block Ciphers --Application to Yoroi and SPNbox--

NTT Social Informatics Laboratories, University of Hyogo Yosuke Todo and Takanori Isobe

Whitebox cryptography

- An attacker can observe/choose plaintexts and ciphertexts.
- The attacker never watch the inside of the encryption.

Block cipher

Blackbox attack Whitebox attack

- An attacker can observe everything including the inside of the encryption.
- Demanded security when the encryption can be used on untrusted environment.

Goal of whitebox block ciphers

- Primary goal is to resist the key extraction attack.
- Secondary goal is to resist the code lifting.

Space-hard block ciphers

• Proposed by Bogdanov and Isobe at CCS 2015.

- *Security against key extraction attack.*
	- Extracting the short secret key is as difficult as the blackbox attack against AES.
- *Security against code lifting.*
	- So-called space hardness.

Space hardness

- (M,Z)-space hardness
	- $-$ The implementation of a block cipher is (M,Z) -space hard if it is infeasible to encrypt (decrypt) any randomly drawn plaintext (ciphertext) with probability higher than $2^{-\zeta}$ given any code (tale) of size less than M.
- Attack models
	- **Known space (KS)** leaks *M* table entries randomly.
	- **Chosen space (CS)** leaks *M* chosen table entries.
	- **Adaptive chosen space (ACS)** leaks *M* adaptively chosen table entries.
	- **Arbitrary** leakage.

Behind intention of space hardness

- Even if a whitebox attacker can successfully extract the M code from the implementation, the attacker can't imitate the cipher.
- Is this intention true??
	- Space hardness doesn't suppose the blackbox attacker receiving the leakage.
	- It doesn't satisfy the intention if slight leakage allows the blackbox attacker to recover the full program!!

Hybrid scenario

- The first phase is code lifting by a whitebox attacker.
	- The attacker analyzes the implementation like "known-key(table) attack", and outputs leakage whose size is up to M.
- The second phase is a classical blackbox attacker.
	- They can exploit the leakage generated by the whitebox attacker.

Let's discuss hybrid scenario

- Yoroi (from CHES2021)
	- Yoroi has very unique functionality called longevity.
	- The implementation is updatable while maintaining the functionality.
- SPNbox (from Asiacrypt2016)
	- As far as we know, SPNbox is the most efficient space-hard ciphers.
	- In other words, it doesn't have enough security margin.

We consider a new attack model taking the intention of the space hardness into consideration.

Note that the authors of existing ciphers don't claim such security.

Security of Yoroi with hybrid scenario

Yoroi

- Three S-boxes, S1, S2, and S3 are used.
- \bullet σ is constant addition
	- θ is the multiplication of the MDS.
		- σ and θ are only applied to the last t bits.
	- Finally, AES A is applied.
	- Security claims.
		- 128-bit security against blackbox attacker.
		- 128-bit security against key extraction.
		- 2^n in 4 , 128)-space hard against KSA (the ability of the whitebox attacker is limited to random table entry extraction.).

Unique property: longevity of Yoroi

- Yoroi was designed to aim for the unique property, longevity.
- Longevity: updatable implementation.
	- The functionality is maintained.
	- Once the implementation (table) is updated, attackers need to re-leak the updated table from the beginning to copy the functionality.
	- It can be promising countermeasure against the following attack.
		- Leak slight data every day such that it's not detectable by anomaly detection.
		- Leak much data by spending many days.
			- e.g., 10MB / day. Then, we can collect 1GB in 100 days.

Yoroi – How to update implementation

- Apply m-bit block cipher E_K to top m bits of output of each S-box.
- They are cancelled out in the next round.
- Security claim.
	- $-\left(\frac{2^{n}in}{\epsilon} \right)$ 64 , 128)-space hard against KSA each table update.

NTT

Attack overview

- Canonical representation
	- Introduce a **canonical representation of Yoroi** that can be quickly reconstructed from implementation.
- **Leakage**
	- Leak the AES key (128 bits) and slight table entries.
- Blackbox attack using the leakage
	- Construct efficient truncated differential.
	- Recover table entries of the canonical representation.

Canonical representation of Yoroi

We choose E_r such that \widetilde{T}_r satisfies Property 1.

• The converted table is unique independent of the table update.

Yoroi has a unique canonical representation independent of the implementation.

How to recover the canonical representation?

- High-probability truncated differential allows us to detect the partial collision of each table entry.
- It's useful to recover the table of the canonical representation.

Summary of results

Table 1: Summary of hybrid code lifting on YOROI and SPNBOX.

Complexity: represents the time and data complexities to recover the encryption program from the leaked information.

- Only 800-bit leakage (the ratio is $2^{-11.94}$) is enough to recover the full program of Yoroi-16 with practical time complexity!!
- SPNbox is not catastrophic like Yoroi, but impossible to maintain 128-bit security.

Attack against Longevity

Motivation

- Hybrid attack doesn't break the authors' security claim.
- Discuss the longevity, which was the design motivation of Yoroi.

Three leakage assumptions

- Arbitrary leakage.
	- Just copy the old program and leak it.
	- It's **impossible** to ensure such security in general.
- Arbitrary leakage without non-volatile memory.
	- Compute the unique canonical representation and leak it.
	- Since Yoroi has the canonical representation, it's **impossible** to ensure such security.
- KSA leakage.
	- Designers' claim.
	- Is it possible to recover the full program only by this assumption?

- 1. Observe the partial entries of $T_1^{(T)}$ as leakage.
	- $-$ The canonical representation, \tilde{T}_1 , is independent of $E_1^{(\mathcal{T})}.$
	- $-$ It's not difficult to recover \tilde{T}_1 .

1. Recover the partial entries of $E_1^{(T)}$ by using \tilde{T}_1 and $T_1^{(T)}$ (leakage).

2. Get the partial entries of $D_1^{(T)}$.

- 1. Recover the partial entries of $E_1^{(T)}$ by using \tilde{T}_1 and $T_1^{(T)}$ (leakage).
- 2. Get the partial entries of $D_1^{(T)}$.
- 3. Observe the partial entries of $T_2^{(T)}$ as leakage.

- 1. Recover the partial entries of $E_1^{(T)}$ by using \tilde{T}_1 and $T_1^{(T)}$ (leakage).
- 2. Get the partial entries of $D_1^{(T)}$.
- 3. Observe the partial entries of $T_2^{(T)}$ as leakage.
- 4. Get the partial entries of $T_2^{(\mathcal{T})}$ \circ $(I||D_1^{(T)})$.
	- $-$ The canonical representation, \tilde{T}_2 , is independent of $E_2^{(\mathcal{T})}.$
	- $\;$ It's not difficult to recover $\tilde{T}_2.$

Summary of attacks

Yoroi-16 known space

break claimed security Sect. 6.3

 $2^{35.97}$

 $2^{68.95}$

Yoroi-32 known space break claimed security Sect. 6.3 Arbitrary represents whitebox adversaries w/o nonvolatile memory. Complexity: represents the time complexity to recover the encryption program from collected leakages, and a query is not required.

248.78

 298.86

Conclusion

-
- We propose the hybrid code lifting and demonstrate the impact.
- We break the security claim about the longevity of Yoroi.
	- $-$ With complexity of $2^{48.78}$, we can recover the full program.
- Countermeasure?
	- Increasing number of rounds.
		- It's useful only for the attack using the KSA leakage.
		- It's difficult to ensure the security on not only arbitrary but also ACSA leakage.
- The open question is how to design an updatable space-hard cipher, ensuring security against arbitrary leakage.