# Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata.

Fast Software Encryption 2018 Bruges, Belgium March, 2018

#### Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Basic Problem
  - Motivation
  - Differences of WOR samples
  - Our Contribution
- 2 Applications
  - $\hat{XORP}^{e_K}[w]$  Construction
  - Security Definitions
  - Privacy Security of Authenticated Encryption
- 3 Mirror Theory and χ<sup>2</sup> Method
   Mirror Theory
   χ<sup>2</sup> Method

# 4 Proof Outline

• Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of size N.

- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$

- Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$
- Goal is to generate a pseudorandom sample of size  $\sigma$ .

# Total variation distance from a truly random WR sample is negligible

- Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$
- Goal is to generate a pseudorandom sample of size  $\sigma$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$
- Goal is to generate a pseudorandom sample of size  $\sigma$ .
  - The original sample (with  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ )?

- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$
- Goal is to generate a pseudorandom sample of size  $\sigma$ .
  - The original sample (with  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ )?
    - Distance between a random WOR sample and a random WR sample  $\approx \frac{\sigma(\sigma-1)}{2N}$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$
- Goal is to generate a pseudorandom sample of size  $\sigma$ .
  - The original sample (with  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ )?
    - Distance between a random WOR sample and a random WR sample  $\approx \frac{\sigma(\sigma-1)}{2N}$ .

Negligible only when  $\sigma \ll \sqrt{N}$ 

- Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of size N.
- Given a without replacement (WOR) random sample of size  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{G}$
- Goal is to generate a pseudorandom sample of size  $\sigma$ .
  - The original sample (with  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ )?
    - Distance between a random WOR sample and a random WR sample  $\approx \frac{\sigma(\sigma-1)}{2N}$ .

Can we generate a pseudorandom sample for which the total variation distance becomes negligible even for  $\sigma > \sqrt{N}$ ?

Motivation

# "Luby-Rackoff backwards" (PRFs from PRPs) Bellare et al., 2000.

# "Luby-Rackoff backwards" (PRFs from PRPs) Bellare et al., 2000.

# Block cipher based PRFs.

Bellare et al., 2000, Nandi, 2009, Iwata and Kurosawa, 2003, Black and Rogaway, 2002, Luykx et al., 2016.

# "Luby-Rackoff backwards" (PRFs from PRPs) Bellare et al., 2000.

 Block cipher based PRFs.
 Bellare et al., 2000, Nandi, 2009, Iwata and Kurosawa, 2003, Black and Rogaway, 2002, Luykx et al., 2016.

PMAC\_Plus Yasuda, 2011, Datta et al., 2017, LightMAC+ Naito, 2017 and 3kf9 Zhang et al., 2012.

#### Birthday bound security

"Luby-Rackoff backwards" (PRFs from PRPs) Bellare et al., 2000.

- Block cipher based PRFs.
   Bellare et al., 2000, Nandi, 2009, Iwata and Kurosawa, 2003, Black and Rogaway, 2002, Luykx et al., 2016.
- PMAC\_Plus Yasuda, 2011, Datta et al., 2017, LightMAC+ Naito, 2017 and 3kf9 Zhang et al., 2012.

# "Luby-Rackoff backwards" (PRFs from PRPs) Bellare et al., 2000.

- Block cipher based PRFs.
   Bellare et al., 2000, Nandi, 2009, Iwata and Kurosawa, 2003, Black and Rogaway, 2002, Luykx et al., 2016.
- PMAC\_Plus Yasuda, 2011, Datta et al., 2017, LightMAC+ Naito, 2017 and 3kf9 Zhang et al., 2012.

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} \coloneqq (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}.$$

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

*Abelian group under the group operation "+"("-" inverse)* 

 $\bar{\sigma} = qw \text{ with } w \geq 2$ 

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} \coloneqq (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}.$$

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} \coloneqq (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}.$$

$$S^{\sigma} \coloneqq (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,w-1}, \dots, S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, \dots, S_{q,1}, \dots, S_{q,w-1}).$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

# Differences of WOR Samples:

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} := (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \text{wor} \mathcal{G}.$$
  
$$\sigma = q(w-1)$$
  
$$S^{\sigma} := (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,w-1}, \dots, S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, \dots, S_{q,1}, \dots, S_{q,w-1}).$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} := (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}.$$
$$S^{\sigma} := (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,w-1}, \dots, S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, \dots, S_{q,1}, \dots, S_{q,w-1}).$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} := (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \text{wor} \mathcal{G}.$$

$$S^{\sigma} := (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,w-1}, \dots, S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, \dots, S_{q,1}, \dots, S_{q,w-1}).$$

$$S_{1,1} = T_{1,1} - T_{1,w} \qquad S_{1,w-1} = T_{1,w-1} - T_{1,w}$$

$$S_{q,w-1} = T_{q,w-1} - T_{q,w}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} \coloneqq (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}.$$

$$S^{\sigma} \coloneqq (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,w-1}, \dots, S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, \dots, S_{q,1}, \dots, S_{q,w-1}).$$

$$R^{\sigma} \coloneqq (R_{1,1}, \dots, R_{1,w-1}, \dots, R_{i,1}, \dots, R_{i,w-1}, \dots, R_{q,1}, \dots, R_{q,w-1}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wr} \mathscr{G}.$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

# **Differences of WOR Samples:**

$$T^{\bar{\sigma}} \coloneqq (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,w}, \dots, T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,w}, \dots, T_{q,1}, \dots, T_{q,w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}.$$

$$S^{\sigma} \coloneqq (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,w-1}, \dots, S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, \dots, S_{q,1}, \dots, S_{q,w-1}).$$

$$R^{\sigma} \coloneqq (R_{1,1}, \dots, R_{1,w-1}, \dots, R_{i,1}, \dots, R_{i,w-1}, \dots, R_{q,1}, \dots, R_{q,w-1}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wr} \mathscr{G}.$$

What is  $||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}||$ ??

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

### Theorem (Pseudorandomness of S)

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}w^2q}{N} + \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}.$$

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}w^2q}{N} + \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}.$$

*Moreover, when* w = 2 *and*  $(\mathcal{G}, +) = (\{0, 1\}^n, \oplus)$ *, we have* 

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \left(\frac{2(N-1)q^3}{(N-2q)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{q}{N}.$$

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}w^2q}{N} + \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}.$$

*Moreover, when* w = 2 *and*  $(\mathcal{G}, +) = (\{0, 1\}^n, \oplus)$ *, we have* 

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \left(\frac{2(N-1)q^3}{(N-2q)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{q}{N}$$

#### Theorem (Variable width case)

Let  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_q \ge 2$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_i w_i$ , and  $w_{max} = \max_i w_i$ . Then,

$$\|S'^{\bar{\sigma}} - R'^{\bar{\sigma}}\| \le \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})\bar{\sigma}w_{max}}{N}$$

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}w^2q}{N} + \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}.$$

*Moreover, when* w = 2 *and*  $(\mathcal{G}, +) = (\{0, 1\}^n, \oplus)$ *, we have* 

$$||S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}|| \le \left(\frac{2(N-1)q^3}{(N-2q)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{q}{N}$$

#### Theorem (Variable width case)

Let  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_q \ge 2$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_i w_i$ , and  $w_{max} = \max_i w_i$ . Then,

$$\|S'^{\bar{\sigma}} - R'^{\bar{\sigma}}\| \le \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})\bar{\sigma}w_{max}}{N}$$



$$\|S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}\| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}w^2q}{N} + \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

Bound is tight.

Moreover, when w = 2 and  $(\mathcal{G}, +) = (\{0, 1\}^n, \oplus)$ , we have

$$\|S^{\sigma} - R^{\sigma}\| \leq \left(\frac{2(N-1)q^3}{(N-2q)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{q}{N}.$$
  
Improves the result of Dai et al., 2017

#### Theorem (Variable width case)

Let  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_q \ge 2$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_i w_i$ , and  $w_{max} = \max_i w_i$ . Then,

$$\|S'^{\bar{\sigma}} - R'^{\bar{\sigma}}\| \le \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})\bar{\sigma}w_{max}}{N}$$

 $XORP^{e_K}[w]$  Construction



 $\mathsf{XORP}[w](x) = \left(e_K(x \| \langle 0 \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(x \| \langle 1 \rangle_s)\right) \| \cdots \| \left(e_K(x \| \langle 0 \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(x \| \langle w-1 \rangle_s)\right)$ where  $s \leq \lfloor \log_2 w \rfloor$ ,  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$  and  $\langle i \rangle_s$  is the *s*-bit representation of *i*.

# $XORP^{e_K}[w]$ Construction

 $XORP[w](x) = \left(e_K(x || \langle 0 \rangle_s) \notin e_K(x || \langle 1 \rangle_s)\right) || \cdots || \left(e_K(x || \langle 0 \rangle_s) \notin e_K(x || \langle w-1 \rangle_s)\right)$ where  $s \leq \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ ,  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$  and  $\langle i \rangle_s$  is the s-bit representation of i.  $T_{i,w} \qquad T_{i,1} \qquad T_{i,w} \qquad T_{i,w-1}$ 

### $XORP^{e_K}[w]$ Construction

 $XORP[w](x) = \left(e_K(x || \langle 0 \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(x || \langle 1 \rangle_s)\right) || \cdots |\left(e_K(x || \langle 0 \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(x || \langle w-1 \rangle_s)\right)$ where  $s \leq \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ ,  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$  and  $\langle i \rangle_s$  is the s-bit representation of i.  $S_{i,1} \qquad S_{i,w-1}$ 

■  $\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}$ .

Applications

Security Definitions

# Set of all functions from $\{0,1\}^m$ to $\{0,1\}^p$

 $\blacksquare \mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}.$
$\blacksquare \mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}. \mathsf{RP}_p \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Perm}_p.$ 

Applications

Security Definitions

Set of all permutations of  $\{0,1\}^p$ 

```
\blacksquare \mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}. \mathsf{RP}_p \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Perm}_p.
```

 $\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}$ .  $\mathsf{RP}_p \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Perm}_p$ . 

■ Let *A* be a distinguisher,

- $\blacksquare \mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}. \mathsf{RP}_p \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Perm}_p.$
- Let *A* be a distinguisher,
- $f: \mathscr{K} \times \{0,1\}^m \to \{0,1\}^p$  be a keyed function.

$$\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}. \mathsf{RP}_p \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Perm}_p.$$

- Let *A* be a distinguisher,
- $f: \mathscr{K} \times \{0,1\}^m \to \{0,1\}^p$  be a keyed function.

PRF-advantage of  $\mathscr{A}$  against f

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{f}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) = |\mathrm{Pr}[\mathscr{A}^{f_{K}} \to 1 : K \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{K}] - \mathrm{Pr}[\mathscr{A}^{\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p}} \to 1]|.$$

$$\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Func}_{m \to p}. \mathsf{RP}_p \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathsf{Perm}_p.$$

- Let *A* be a distinguisher,
- $f: \mathscr{K} \times \{0,1\}^m \to \{0,1\}^p$  be a keyed function.

PRF-advantage of  $\mathscr{A}$  against f

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{f}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) = |\Pr[\mathscr{A}^{f_{K}} \to 1 : K \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{K}] - \Pr[\mathscr{A}^{\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p}} \to 1]|.$$

PRP-advantage of  $\mathscr{A}$  against a keyed permutation f (in this case m = p)

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{f}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{A}) = |\mathrm{Pr}[\mathscr{A}^{f_{K}} \to 1 : K \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{K}] - \mathrm{Pr}[\mathscr{A}^{\mathsf{RP}_{p}} \to 1]|.$$

Applications

■ *A* does not repeat its queries.

Security Definitions

*After the random choices are made everything is deterministic.* 

We assume (w.l.o.g.)

■ *A* does not repeat its queries.

- *A* does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.

- $\checkmark \mathscr{A}$  does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.

Information theoretic security. *A* is computationally unbounded. Runs with best random coins.

- *A* does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.
- $\mathscr{A}$  sends q queries  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ .

- *A* does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.
- $\mathscr{A}$  sends q queries  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ .
- Gets  $X^q := (X_1, \ldots, X_q)$  if the it is  $f_K$  oracle.

- *A* does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.
- $\mathscr{A}$  sends q queries  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ .
- Gets  $X^q := (X_1, \ldots, X_q)$  if the it is  $f_K$  oracle.  $R^q := (R_1, \ldots, R_q)$  if the it is  $\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p}$  oracle.

- *A* does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.
- $\mathscr{A}$  sends q queries  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ .
- Gets  $X^q := (X_1, \ldots, X_q)$  if the it is  $f_K$  oracle.  $R^q := (R_1, \ldots, R_q)$  if the it is  $\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p}$  oracle.

 $\Pr_X$ 

- A does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.
- $\mathscr{A}$  sends q queries  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ .
- Gets  $X^q := (X_1, \dots, X_q)$  if the it is  $f_K$  oracle.  $R^q := (R_1, \dots, R_q)$  if the it is  $\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p}$  oracle.

$$(R_1,\ldots,R_q) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{Wr}} \{0,1\}^p$$
$$\Pr_R$$

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{f}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) = |\mathrm{Pr}_{R}(\mathscr{E}) - \mathrm{Pr}_{X}(\mathscr{E})| \leq ||\mathrm{Pr}_{R} - \mathrm{Pr}_{X}||.$$

- *A* does not repeat its queries.
- A deterministic.
- $\mathscr{A}$  sends q queries  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ .
- Gets  $X^q := (X_1, \ldots, X_q)$  if the it is  $f_K$  oracle.  $R^q := (R_1, \ldots, R_q)$  if the it is  $\mathsf{RF}_{m \to p}$  oracle.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Adv}_{f}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) &= |\mathrm{Pr}_{R}(\mathscr{E}) - \mathrm{Pr}_{X}(\mathscr{E})| \leq ||\mathrm{Pr}_{R} - \mathrm{Pr}_{X}||. \\ & \mathscr{E} = \{x^{q} \in \{0,1\}^{p} : \mathscr{A}(x^{q}) = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

•  $e_K$  is a blockcipher over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with a randomly chosen key K.

- $e_K$  is a blockcipher over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with a randomly chosen key K.
- Adversary  $\mathscr{A}$  makes at most q queries to  $\mathsf{XORP}^{e_K}[w]$  or to  $\mathsf{RF}_{(n-s)\to n(w-1)}$ .

- $e_K$  is a blockcipher over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with a randomly chosen key K.
- Adversary  $\mathscr{A}$  makes at most q queries to  $\mathsf{XORP}^{e_K}[w]$  or to  $\mathsf{RF}_{(n-s)\to n(w-1)}$ .
- Then there is an adversary  $\mathscr{B}$  making at most qw queries to  $e_K$  or to the random permutation  $\mathbb{RP}_n$  such that

- $e_K$  is a blockcipher over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with a randomly chosen key K.
- Adversary  $\mathscr{A}$  makes at most q queries to  $\mathsf{XORP}^{e_K}[w]$  or to  $\mathsf{RF}_{(n-s)\to n(w-1)}$ .
- Then there is an adversary  $\mathscr{B}$  making at most qw queries to  $e_K$  or to the random permutation  $\mathbb{RP}_n$  such that

- $\bullet e_K$  is a blockcipher over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with a randomly chosen key K.
- Adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  makes at most q queries to  $\mathsf{XORP}^{e_K}[w]$  or to  $\mathsf{RF}_{(n-s)\to n(w-1)}$ .
- Then there is an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  making at most qw queries to  $e_K$  or to the random permutation  $RP_n$  such that

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{XORP}^{e_K}[w]}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{e_K}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})qw^2}{N}$$

#### Variable width

$$\frac{\text{Nonce respecting}}{\operatorname{Adv}_{\operatorname{XORP}^{e_{K}}[*]}^{\operatorname{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \operatorname{Adv}_{e_{K}}^{\operatorname{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})w_{max} \times \bar{\sigma}}{N}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

- $\bullet e_K$  is a blockcipher over  $\{0,1\}^n$  with a randomly chosen key K.
- Adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  makes at most q queries to  $\mathsf{XORP}^{e_K}[w]$  or to  $\mathsf{RF}_{(n-s)\to n(w-1)}$ .
- Then there is an adversary  $\mathscr{B}$  making at most qw queries to  $e_K$  or to the random permutation  $\mathbb{RP}_n$  such that

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{XORP}^{e_{K}}[w]}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{e_{K}}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})qw^{2}}{N}$$

<u>Variable width</u>

 $\max_i w_i$ 

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{XORP}^{e_{K}}[*]}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{e_{K}}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})w_{max} \times \bar{\sigma}}{N}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

## Fix the parameters: width w, $s = \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ , maximum number of blocks $\ell_{max}$ , and $r = \lceil \log_2 \ell_{max}/w \rceil$ .

- Fix the parameters: width w,  $s = \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ , maximum number of blocks  $\ell_{max}$ , and  $r = \lceil \log_2 \ell_{max}/w \rceil$ .
- $M = M_1 \| \cdots \| M_\ell \in (\{0, 1\}^n)^\ell, P \in \{0, 1\}^m, \ell = w\ell' \le \ell_{max}, m = n (r + s) > 0.$

- Fix the parameters: width w,  $s = \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ , maximum number of blocks  $\ell_{max}$ , and  $r = \lceil \log_2 \ell_{max}/w \rceil$ .
- $M = M_1 \| \cdots \| M_\ell \in (\{0, 1\}^n)^\ell, P \in \{0, 1\}^m, \ell = w\ell' \le \ell_{max}, m = n (r + s) > 0.$

$$\mathsf{CENC}_{K}(P,M) \coloneqq \|_{i=0}^{\ell'-1} \mathsf{XORP}^{e_{K}}[w](P\|\langle i \rangle_{r}) \oplus (M_{wi}\| \cdots \|M_{w(i+1)-1}).$$

Th

Fix the parameters: width w,  $s = \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ , maximum number of blocks  $\ell_{max}$ , and  $r = \lceil \log_2 \ell_{max}/w \rceil$ .

$$M = M_1 \| \cdots \| M_\ell \in (\{0, 1\}^n)^\ell, P \in \{0, 1\}^m, \ell = w\ell' \le \ell_{max}, m = n - (r + s) > 0.$$

$$\mathsf{CENC}_{K}(P, M) \coloneqq \|_{i=0}^{\ell'-1} \mathsf{XORP}^{e_{K}}[w](P\|\langle i \rangle_{r}) \oplus (M_{wi}\|\cdots\|M_{w(i+1)-1}).$$
  
we orem (PRF-security of CENC)

For every nonce-respecting distinguisher  $\mathcal{A}$  making at most  $\bar{\sigma}$  many queries there is an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  making at most  $\bar{\sigma}$  many queries such that

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{CENC}}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{e_{K}}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})w\bar{\sigma}}{N}.$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Th

Fix the parameters: width w,  $s = \lceil \log_2 w \rceil$ , maximum number of blocks  $\ell_{max}$ , and  $r = \lceil \log_2 \ell_{max}/w \rceil$ .

$$M = M_1 \| \cdots \| M_\ell \in (\{0, 1\}^n)^\ell, P \in \{0, 1\}^m, \ell = w\ell' \le \ell_{max}, m = n - (r + s) > 0.$$

$$\mathsf{CENC}_{K}(P, M) \coloneqq \|_{i=0}^{\ell'-1} \mathsf{XORP}^{e_{K}}[w](P\|\langle i \rangle_{r}) \oplus (M_{wi}\|\cdots\|M_{w(i+1)-1}).$$
  
neorem (PRF-security of CENC)

For every nonce-respecting distinguisher  $\mathcal{A}$  making at most  $\bar{\sigma}$  many queries there is an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  making at most  $\bar{\sigma}$  many queries such that

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{CENC}}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{e_{K}}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})w\bar{\sigma}}{N}.$$

*Improvement over the query range*  $w\bar{\sigma} \leq \frac{N}{67}$  *in Iwata et al., 2016* 

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

Applications

#### Nonce based authenticated encryption.

## Nonce based authenticated encryption.Provides birthday bound security.

# Nonce based authenticated encryption.Provides birthday bound security.

Due to PRP-PRF switching lemma

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction:

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

*e<sub>K</sub>* - underlying random permutation.

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

*e<sub>K</sub>* - underlying random permutation.
- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- $\bullet$  *e*<sub>*K*</sub> underlying random permutation.
- *H* hash key chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- $\bullet$  *e*<sub>*K*</sub> underlying random permutation.
- *H* hash key chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$ .
- $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$  an  $\ell$ -block message.

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- $\bullet$  *e*<sub>*K*</sub> underlying random permutation.
- *H* hash key chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$ .
- $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$  an  $\ell$ -block message.
- Nonce  $P \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$ .

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- $\bullet$  *e*<sub>*K*</sub> underlying random permutation.
- *H* hash key chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0, 1\}^n$ .
- $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$  an  $\ell$ -block message.
- Nonce  $P \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$ .

*s* is such that  $\ell < 2^s - 1$  for longest message

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- $\bullet$  *e*<sub>*K*</sub> underlying random permutation.
- *H* hash key chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$ .
- $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$  an  $\ell$ -block message.
- Nonce  $P \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$ .
- 1 Compute ciphertext  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_\ell)$

 $c_i = m_i \oplus e_K(P || \langle i \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(P || \langle s - 1 \rangle_s).$ 

- Nonce based authenticated encryption.
- Provides birthday bound security.
- CAESER aims to get better constructions.

The construction: Let

- *e*<sub>*K*</sub> underlying random permutation.
- *H* hash key chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$ .
- $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$  an  $\ell$ -block message.
- Nonce  $P \in \{0, 1\}^{n-s}$ .
- 1 Compute ciphertext  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_\ell)$

$$c_i = m_i \oplus e_K(P || \langle i \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(P || \langle s - 1 \rangle_s).$$

<sup>2</sup> Compute tag T

 $T = (H^{\ell}c_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Hc_{\ell}) \oplus e_K(P || \langle 0 \rangle_s) \oplus e_K(P || \langle s - 1 \rangle_s).$ 

## Theorem (PRF-security of mGCM)

For every nonce-respecting distinguisher  $\mathcal{A}$  making at most  $\bar{\sigma}$  many queries, where the longest query has block length  $\ell_{max}$ , there is an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  making at most  $\bar{\sigma}$  many queries such that

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{mGCM}}^{\mathrm{prf}}(\mathscr{A}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{e_{K}}^{\mathrm{prp}}(\mathscr{B}) + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})\ell_{max}\bar{\sigma}}{N}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

## **Mirror Theory:**

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

# Mirror Theory:

Fix  $\sigma$ .

## **Mirror Theory:**

- Fix  $\sigma$ .
- Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$

## **Mirror Theory:**

Fix  $\sigma$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$

## **Mirror Theory:**

Fix  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$ 

What is  $|\mathcal{S}|$ ?

## **Mirror Theory:**

Fix  $\sigma$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$

# What is $|\mathcal{S}|$ ?

Mirror theory provides a lower bound on  $|\mathcal{S}|$ .

## **Mirror Theory:**

Fix  $\sigma$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$

# What is $|\mathcal{S}|$ ?

- Mirror theory provides a lower bound on  $|\mathcal{S}|$ .
  - Implies an upper bound on  $||S^{\sigma} R^{\sigma}||$ . (Patarin, 2010)

Fix  $\sigma$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$

## What is $|\mathcal{S}|$ ?

- Mirror theory provides a lower bound on  $|\mathcal{S}|$ .
  - Implies an upper bound on  $||S^{\sigma} R^{\sigma}||$ . (Patarin, 2010)
- Powerful in terms of implications. Optimum security for many constructions such as EDM, EWCDM etc. (Mennink and Neves, 2017)

Fix  $\sigma$ .

- Let  $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le \sigma\}$ . Fix  $c_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \in \mathscr{C}$

## What is $|\mathcal{S}|$ ?

- Mirror theory provides a lower bound on  $|\mathcal{S}|$ .
  - Implies an upper bound on  $||S^{\sigma} R^{\sigma}||$ . (Patarin, 2010)
- Powerful in terms of implications. Optimum security for many constructions such as EDM, EWCDM etc. (Mennink and Neves, 2017)
- Quite complex. Some of the steps lack necessary details.

 Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.

- Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.
  - Full security of XORP[2].

- Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.
  - Full security of XORP[2].
  - Improved security of EDM.

- Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.
  - Full security of XORP[2].
  - Improved security of EDM.
- Stam (Stam, 1978) used it to show pseudorandomness of trucation of WOR samples (in statistical context).

- Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.
  - Full security of XORP[2].
  - Improved security of EDM.
- Stam (Stam, 1978) used it to show pseudorandomness of trucation of WOR samples (in statistical context).
- Much transparent than the mirror theory.

- Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.
  - Full security of XORP[2].
  - Improved security of EDM.
- Stam (Stam, 1978) used it to show pseudorandomness of trucation of WOR samples (in statistical context).
- Much transparent than the mirror theory.
- Seems to have potential.

- Recently (in Crypto 2017) introduced by Dai, Hoang, and Tessaro in cryptographic context.
  - Full security of XORP[2].
  - Improved security of EDM.
- Stam (Stam, 1978) used it to show pseudorandomness of trucation of WOR samples (in statistical context).
- Much transparent than the mirror theory.
- Seems to have potential.
  - Full indifferentiability of the sum of multiple random permutations.(Bhattacharya and Nandi, 2018)



Notation:

### Notation:

• Let  $X := X^q := (X_1, \dots, X_q)$  and  $Y := Y^q := (Y_1, \dots, Y_q)$  be two random vectors distributed over  $\Omega^q$ .

### Notation:

- Let  $X := X^q := (X_1, \dots, X_q)$  and  $Y := Y^q := (Y_1, \dots, Y_q)$  be two random vectors distributed over  $\Omega^q$ .
- $\Pr_X(x_i|x^{i-1}) := \Pr[X_i = x_i|X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}].$

### Notation:

- Let  $X := X^q := (X_1, \dots, X_q)$  and  $Y := Y^q := (Y_1, \dots, Y_q)$  be two random vectors distributed over  $\Omega^q$ .
- $\Pr_X(x_i|x^{i-1}) := \Pr[X_i = x_i|X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}].$

$$\Pr_{\boldsymbol{X}}(x_1|x^0) \coloneqq \Pr[X_1 = x_1]$$

### Notation:

Let X := X<sup>q</sup> := (X<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>q</sub>) and Y := Y<sup>q</sup> := (Y<sub>1</sub>,...,Y<sub>q</sub>) be two random vectors distributed over Ω<sup>q</sup>.
 Pr<sub>X</sub>(x<sub>i</sub>|x<sup>i-1</sup>) := Pr[X<sub>i</sub> = x<sub>i</sub>|X<sup>i-1</sup> = x<sup>i-1</sup>]. Similarly for Pr<sub>Y</sub>(x<sub>i</sub>|x<sup>i-1</sup>).

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

### Notation:

- Let X := X<sup>q</sup> := (X<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>q</sub>) and Y := Y<sup>q</sup> := (Y<sub>1</sub>,...,Y<sub>q</sub>) be two random vectors distributed over Ω<sup>q</sup>.
  Prv(x,|x<sup>i-1</sup>) := Pr[Y = x,|Y<sup>i-1</sup> = x<sup>i-1</sup>]. Similarly for Prv(x,|x<sup>i-1</sup>)
- $\Pr_X(x_i|x^{i-1}) := \Pr[X_i = x_i|X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}]$ . Similarly for  $\Pr_Y(x_i|x^{i-1})$ .

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i} \in \Omega_{x^{i-1}}} \frac{\left(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})\right)^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

### Notation:

- Let X := X<sup>q</sup> := (X<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>q</sub>) and Y := Y<sup>q</sup> := (Y<sub>1</sub>,...,Y<sub>q</sub>) be two random vectors distributed over Ω<sup>q</sup>.
  Pru(m|m<sup>i-1</sup>) := Pr[Y<sub>1</sub> = m|Y<sup>i-1</sup> = m<sup>i-1</sup>]. Similarly for Pru(m|m<sup>i-1</sup>)
- $\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{X}}(x_i|x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \operatorname{Pr}[X_i = x_i|X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}]$ . Similarly for  $\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_i|x^{i-1})$ .

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i} \in \Omega_{x^{i-1}}} \frac{\left(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})\right)^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
$$\Omega_{x^{i-1}} = \{x_{i} : x^{i} \in \Omega_{i}\}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

### Notation:

• Let  $X := X^q := (X_1, \dots, X_q)$  and  $Y := Y^q := (Y_1, \dots, Y_q)$  be two random vectors distributed over  $\Omega^q$ .

•  $\Pr_X(x_i|x^{i-1}) := \Pr[X_i = x_i|X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}]$ . Similarly for  $\Pr_Y(x_i|x^{i-1})$ .

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i} \in \Omega_{x^{i-1}}} \frac{\left(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})\right)^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
$$\Omega_{x^{i-1}} = \{x_{i} : x^{i} \in \Omega_{i}\}$$

 $\forall i$ , Support of  $Y^i$  should contain support of  $X^i (= \Omega_i)$ 

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

## Theorem (Dai et al., 2017)

Following the notation as above and assuming that the support of  $X^i$  is contained in the support of  $Y^i$  for every *i*, then

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{X}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{\mathbf{Ex}}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

## Theorem (Dai et al., 2017)

Following the notation as above and assuming that the support of  $X^i$  is contained in the support of  $Y^i$  for every *i*, then

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{X}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{\mathbf{Ex}}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Ingradients:

## Theorem (Dai et al., 2017)

Following the notation as above and assuming that the support of  $X^i$  is contained in the support of  $Y^i$  for every *i*, then

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{X}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{\mathbf{Ex}}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

## Ingradients:

1 Pinsker's inequality.

## Theorem (Dai et al., 2017)

Following the notation as above and assuming that the support of  $X^i$  is contained in the support of  $Y^i$  for every *i*, then

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{X}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{\mathbf{Ex}}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

## Ingradients:

- Pinsker's inequality.
- 2 chain rule of *Kullback-Leibler divergence* (KL divergence).

## Theorem (Dai et al., 2017)

Following the notation as above and assuming that the support of  $X^i$  is contained in the support of  $Y^i$  for every *i*, then

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{X}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{\mathbf{Ex}}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

## Ingradients:

- <sup>1</sup> Pinsker's inequality.
- 2 chain rule of Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL divergence).
- 3 Jensen's inequality.
## Random Experiment for R

 $\mathsf{R} \coloneqq (R_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \leftarrow \mathsf{wr} \, \mathscr{G}$ return R

Random Experiment for R	Random Experiment for S
$R \coloneqq (R_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{G}$ return R	$\begin{aligned} T &\coloneqq (T_{i,j}:i\in[q],j\in[w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq w-1 \\ & S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ & \text{return } S \coloneqq (S_{i,j}:i\in[q],j\in[w-1]) \end{aligned}$



Both R and S have same sample space  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .



Both R and S have same sample space \$\mathcal{G}^{q(w-1)}\$.
 They don't have same support.

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function



Both R and S have same sample space  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .

They don't have same support.

• The support of R is  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .



- Both R and S have same sample space  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .
- They don't have same support.
  - The support of R is  $\mathcal{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .
  - *T<sub>i,j</sub>*'s are distinct implies

Random Experiment for R	Random Experiment for S
$R \coloneqq (R_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{G}$ return R	$\begin{aligned} T &\coloneqq (T_{i,j}:i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ & S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ & \text{return } S &\coloneqq (S_{i,j}:i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \end{aligned}$

- Both R and S have same sample space  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .
- They don't have same support.
  - The support of R is  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .
  - T<sub>i,j</sub>'s are distinct implies
    - 1  $S_{i,j} \neq 0$  for all i, j,

Random Experiment for R	Random Experiment for S
$R \coloneqq (R_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{G}$ return R	$\begin{aligned} T &\coloneqq (T_{i,j}:i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ & S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ & \text{return } S &\coloneqq (S_{i,j}:i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \end{aligned}$

- Both R and S have same sample space  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .
- They don't have same support.
  - The support of R is  $\mathscr{G}^{q(w-1)}$ .
  - $T_{i,j}$ 's are distinct implies
    - 1  $S_{i,j} \neq 0$  for all i, j, and
    - **2** for any *i* and for all  $j \neq j' \leq w 1$ ,  $S_{i,j} \neq S_{i,j'}$ .

# Consider an intermediate distribution U

## Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{G} \setminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

## Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \xleftarrow{} wor \mathscr{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \textbf{return } \mathsf{U} \coloneqq (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1]) \end{array}$$

By triangle inequality

$$\left\| \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}} \right\| \le \left\| \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} \right\| + \left\| \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}} \right\|$$

### Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

## Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

## Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

1 for some  $i, j, R_{i,j} = 0$ .

#### Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

- 1 for some  $i, j, R_{i,j} = 0$ .
- 2 for some  $1 \le i \le q$ ,  $1 \le j \ne j' \le w 1$ ,  $R_{i,j} = R_{i,j'}$ .

#### Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

- 1 for some  $i, j, R_{i,j} = 0$ . Probability  $\leq \frac{q(w-1)}{N}$ .
- 2 for some  $1 \le i \le q$ ,  $1 \le j \ne j' \le w 1$ ,  $R_{i,j} = R_{i,j'}$ .

### Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

- 1 for some  $i, j, R_{i,j} = 0$ . Probability  $\leq \frac{q(w-1)}{N}$ .
- 2 for some  $1 \le i \le q$ ,  $1 \le j \ne j' \le w 1$ ,  $R_{i,j} = R_{i,j'}$ . Probability  $\le q \times \frac{(w-1)(w-2)}{2N}$ .

### Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

■ U is identical with R until  
1 for some 
$$i, j, R_{i,j} = 0$$
. Probability  $\leq \frac{q(w-1)}{N}$ .  
2 for some  $1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \neq j' \leq w - 1, R_{i,j} = R_{i,j'}$ . Probability  
 $\leq q \times \frac{(w-1)(w-2)}{2N}$ .  
 $\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\|$ ?

## Consider an intermediate distribution U

Random Experiment for U

for 
$$1 \le i \le q$$
  
 $U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \dots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathscr{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$   
return  $\mathsf{U} := (U_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w-1])$ 

By triangle inequality

$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{S}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}}\| + \|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{U}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{R}}\| \le \frac{w(w-1)q}{2N}$$

Random Experiment for X

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T} &= (T_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ \mathbf{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq q \\ \mathbf{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ S_{i,j} &= T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ X_i &= (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, T_{i,w}) \\ S_i &= (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}) \\ \mathbf{return} \ \mathbf{X} &\coloneqq (X_1, \dots, X_q) \end{split}$$

Random Experiment for X

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T} &= (T_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ & \mathbf{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq q \\ & \mathbf{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ & S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ & X_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, T_{i,w}) \\ & S_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}) \\ & \mathbf{return} \ \mathbf{X} \coloneqq (X_1, \dots, X_q) \end{aligned}$ 

 $\rho: \mathcal{G}^w \mapsto \mathcal{G}^w, \rho(z_1, \dots, z_w) = (z_1 + z_w, \dots, z_{w-1} + z_w, z_w) \text{ is a permutation.}$ 

Random Experiment for X

$$T = (T_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\text{wor}} \mathscr{G}$$
  
for  $1 \le i \le q$   
for  $1 \le j \le w - 1$   
 $S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w}$   
 $X_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, T_{i,w})$   
 $S_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1})$   
return  $X := (X_1, \dots, X_q)$ 

 $\rho: \mathcal{G}^w \mapsto \mathcal{G}^w, \rho(z_1, \dots, z_w) = (z_1 + z_w, \dots, z_{w-1} + z_w, z_w) \text{ is a permutation.}$  $\rho(X_i) = T_i$ 

Random Experiment for X

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T} &= (T_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ \mathbf{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq q \\ \mathbf{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ S_{i,j} &= T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ X_i &= (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, T_{i,w}) \\ S_i &= (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}) \\ \mathbf{return} \ \mathbf{X} \coloneqq (X_1, \dots, X_q) \end{split}$$

 $\rho: \mathcal{G}^w \mapsto \mathcal{G}^w, \rho(z_1, \dots, z_w) = (z_1 + z_w, \dots, z_{w-1} + z_w, z_w) \text{ is a permutation.}$ 

$$\rho(X_i) = T_i, \, \rho^*(X^i) \coloneqq (\rho(X_1), \dots, \rho(X_i)) = (T_1, \dots, T_i) = T^i$$
  
$$\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_i \mid x^{i-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[X_i = x_i \mid X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}]$$

Random Experiment for X

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{T} &= (T_{i,j}: i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ & S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ & X_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, T_{i,w}) \\ & S_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}) \\ & \text{return } \mathsf{X} \coloneqq (X_1, \dots, X_q) \end{split}$$

 $\rho: \mathscr{G}^w \mapsto \mathscr{G}^w, \rho(z_1, \dots, z_w) = (z_1 + z_w, \dots, z_{w-1} + z_w, z_w) \text{ is a permutation.}$  $\rho(X_i) = T_i, \rho^*(X^i) \coloneqq (\rho(X_1), \dots, \rho(X_i)) = (T_1, \dots, T_i) = T^i$ 

$$\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_i \mid x^{i-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[X_i = x_i \mid X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}] = \Pr[T_i = a_i \mid T^{i-1} = a^{i-1}] = \frac{1}{(N - (i-1)w)^{\underline{w}}}.$$

Random Experiment for X

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T} &= (T_{i,j}: i \in [q], j \in [w]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ &\text{for } 1 \leq j \leq w - 1 \\ &S_{i,j} = T_{i,j} - T_{i,w} \\ &X_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}, T_{i,w}) \\ &S_i = (S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,w-1}) \\ &\text{return } \mathsf{X} \coloneqq (X_1, \dots, X_q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho: \mathscr{G}^w \mapsto \mathscr{G}^w, \rho(z_1, \dots, z_w) = (z_1 + z_w, \dots, z_{w-1} + z_w, z_w) \text{ is a permutation.}$$

$$\rho(X_i) = T_i, \, \rho^*(X^i) := (\rho(X_1), \dots, \rho(X_i)) = (T_1, \dots, T_i) = T^i$$

$$\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_i \mid x^{i-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[X_i = x_i \mid X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}] = \Pr[T_i = a_i \mid T^{i-1} = a^{i-1}] = \frac{1}{(N - (i-1)w)^{\underline{w}}}.$$

$$\rho(x_i) = a_i \qquad \rho^*(x^{i-1}) = a^{i-1}$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

# Extend U to Y (U is marginal random variable of Y.)

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \colon v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \emptyset \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow \text{wr } \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} \colon i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \varnothing \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow \text{wr } \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{array}$$

•  $x^i \coloneqq (x_1, \ldots, x_i) \in \Omega_i$ .

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{ v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1] \} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \emptyset \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow \text{wr } \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} \colon i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \\ \textbf{x}^i \coloneqq (x_1, \ldots, x_i) \in \Omega_i. \end{array}$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \varnothing \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow wr \, \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

$$x^{i} := (x_{1}, \dots, x_{i}) \in \Omega_{i}. \ u_{i} := (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,w-1}).$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \varnothing \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow \text{wr } \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

$$x^{i} := (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}) \in \Omega_{i}. \ u_{i} := (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,w-1}). \ x_{i} = (u_{i}, x_{i,w}).$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \varnothing \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow wr \, \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{i} \coloneqq (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}) \in \Omega_{i}. \ u_{i} \coloneqq (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,w-1}). \ x_{i} = (u_{i}, x_{i,w}). \\ \forall i \in [q], \ \text{and} \ \forall x^{i} \in \Omega_{i}, \end{array}$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \varnothing \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow wr \, \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

$$x^{i} := (x_{1}, \dots, x_{i}) \in \Omega_{i}. \ u_{i} := (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,w-1}). \ x_{i} = (u_{i}, x_{i,w}).$$
  
$$\forall i \in [q], \text{ and } \forall x^{i} \in \Omega_{i},$$

$$\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_i \mid x^{i-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[Y_i = x_i \mid Y^{i-1} = x^{i-1}]$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \emptyset \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow wr \, \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

•  $x^i := (x_1, \dots, x_i) \in \Omega_i$ .  $u_i := (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,w-1})$ .  $x_i = (u_i, x_{i,w})$ . •  $\forall i \in [q]$ , and  $\forall x^i \in \Omega_i$ ,

$$\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_i \mid x^{i-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[Y_i = x_i \mid Y^{i-1} = x^{i-1}] \\ = \frac{1}{(N-1)^{w-1}} \times \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(x^{i-1})|}$$

Random Experiment for Y

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{initialize } \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{G} \\ \textbf{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ U_i \coloneqq (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor } \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i = \{v \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} : v + U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1}, \forall j \in [w-1]\} \\ \textbf{if } \mathcal{N}_i \neq \varnothing \textbf{ then } V_{i,w} \leftarrow wr \mathcal{N}_i \textbf{ else } V_{i,w} = 0 \\ Y_i = (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \ldots, U_{i,w-1}, V_{i,w}) \\ \mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{G} \smallsetminus (\{V_{i',j} \coloneqq U_{i',j} + V_{i',w} : i' \in [i], j \in [w-1]\} \cup \{V_{1,w}, \ldots, V_{i,w}\}) \\ \textbf{return } \textbf{Y} \coloneqq (Y_1, \ldots, Y_q) \end{aligned}$$

•  $x^i := (x_1, \dots, x_i) \in \Omega_i$ .  $u_i := (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,w-1})$ .  $x_i = (u_i, x_{i,w})$ . •  $\forall i \in [q]$ , and  $\forall x^i \in \Omega_i$ ,

$$\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_i \mid x^{i-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[Y_i = x_i \mid Y^{i-1} = x^{i-1}] \\ = \frac{1}{(N-1)^{\underline{w-1}}} \times \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(x^{i-1})|} > 0$$
Proof Outline

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
$$= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^{2}.$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
$$\mathsf{C} = \frac{(N-1)^{\underline{w}-1}}{((N-(i-1)w)^{\underline{w}})^{2}} = \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} \left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D}\right)^{2}. \qquad \mathsf{D} = \frac{(N-(i-1)w)^{\underline{w}}}{(N-1)^{\underline{w}-1}}$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
  
=  $\mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^{2}.$ 

$$\mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] = \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^2]$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})} \\ = \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^{2}.$$

$$\mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] = \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^2]$$
$$= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|])^2]$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathbf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathbf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathbf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
  
=  $\mathbf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathbf{D})^{2}.$   
$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(X^{i-1})|]$$
  
$$\mathbf{Ex}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})] = \mathbf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} \mathbf{Ex}[(|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{D})^{2}]$$
  
$$= \mathbf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} \mathbf{Ex}[(|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(X^{i-1})|])^{2}]$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
  
=  $\mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^{2}.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D}\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|]\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Var}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
$$= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^{2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D}\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|]\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Var}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|] \\ & \frac{w^2 \times \frac{(N-r)^w}{(N-1)^{w-1}} \times \left(1 - \frac{(N-r)^w}{N^w}\right)}{r = w(i-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})}$$
$$= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} (|\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D})^{2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D}\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|]\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Var}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|] \leq \frac{8rw^3}{N^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

$$\chi^{2}(x^{i-1}) \coloneqq \sum_{x_{i}} \frac{(\Pr_{\mathsf{X}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}) - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1}))^{2}}{\Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}(x_{i}|x^{i-1})} \\ = \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_{i}} \left( |\mathcal{N}^{u_{i}}(x^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D} \right)^{2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathsf{D}\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Ex}[\left(|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})| - \mathbf{Ex}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|]\right)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{C} \times \sum_{u_i} \mathbf{Var}[|\mathcal{N}^{u_i}(X^{i-1})|] \leq \frac{8rw^3}{N^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\Pr_{\mathsf{X}} - \Pr_{\mathsf{Y}}\| \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbf{Ex}[\chi^{2}(X^{i-1})]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{\sqrt{2}w^{2}q}{N}.$$

Proof Outline

For

$$w = 2 \text{ and } \mathscr{G} = \{\{0,1\}^n, \oplus\},\$$
$$\mathbf{Ex}[\chi^2(X^{i-1})] \le \frac{2(N-1)r^2}{(N-2q)^4}$$
$$\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{X}} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathsf{Y}}\| \le \left(\frac{2(N-1)q^3}{(N-2q)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

#### Random Experiment for R'

 $\mathsf{R}' \coloneqq (R'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i - 1]) \leftarrow \mathrm{wr}\,\mathscr{G}$ return  $\mathsf{R}'$ 

#### Random Experiment for U'

for  $1 \le i \le q$   $U'_i := (U'_{i,1}, \dots, U'_{i,w_i-1}) \leftarrow \text{wor} \mathscr{G} \setminus \{0\}$ return  $U' := (U'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i - 1])$ 

#### Random Experiment for S'

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}' &:= (T'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wor}} \mathscr{G} \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q \\ & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq w_i - 1 \\ & S'_{i,j} = T'_{i,j} - T'_{i,w_i} \\ & \text{return } \mathsf{S}' &:= (S'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i - 1]) \end{aligned}$ 

Random Experiment for R' Random Experiment for S' $\mathsf{R}' \coloneqq (R'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i - 1]) \leftarrow_{\mathrm{wr}} \mathscr{G}$  $\mathsf{T}' \coloneqq (T'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i]) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G}$ return R for  $1 \le i \le q$ for  $1 \leq j \leq w_i - 1$  $S'_{i,j} = T'_{i,j} - T'_{i,w_i}$ return S' :=  $(S'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i - 1])$ Random Experiment for U'for  $1 \leq i \leq q$  $U'_{i} \coloneqq (U'_{i,1}, \dots, U'_{i,w_{i-1}}) \leftarrow \operatorname{wor} \mathscr{G} \setminus \{0\}$ return U' :=  $(U'_{i,j} : i \in [q], j \in [w_i - 1])$ Theorem Let  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_c \ge 2$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_i w_i$ , and  $w_{max} = \max_i w_i$ . Then,

$$\left\|\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathcal{S}'} - \operatorname{Pr}_{\mathcal{R}'}\right\| \leq \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})\bar{\sigma}w_{max}}{N}$$

## Questions?

## Thank You!

## References I

- Bellare, M., Kilian, J., and Rogaway, P. (2000).
  - The security of the cipher block chaining message authentication code.
  - J. Comput. Syst. Sci., 61(3):362–399.

#### Bhattacharya, S. and Nandi, M.

A note on the chi-square method : A tool for proving cryptographic security.

*Cryptography and Communications, in Press.* 

Bhattacharya, S. and Nandi, M. (2018).

Full indifferentiable security of the xor of two or more random permutations using the  $\chi^2$  method.

In Eurocrypt 2018. Springer International Publishing.

## References II

Black, J. and Rogaway, P. (2002).

A block-cipher mode of operation for parallelizable message authentication.

In *EUROCRYPT 2002,* volume 2332 of *LNCS,* pages 384–397. Springer.

Dai, W., Hoang, V. T., and Tessaro, S. (2017).

Information-theoretic indistinguishability via the chi-squared method.

In Katz and Shacham, 2017, pages 497–523.

 Datta, N., Dutta, A., Nandi, M., Paul, G., and Zhang, L. (2017). Single key variant of pmac\_plus.
 To appear in IACR Transaction on Symmetric Key Cryptology, (4).

Srimanta Bhattacharya and Mridul Nandi

Revisiting Variable Output Length XOR Pseudorandom Function

## References III

- Gilboa, S. and Gueron, S. (2016). The advantage of truncated permutations. *CoRR*, abs/1610.02518.
- Gilboa, S., Gueron, S., and Morris, B. (2017).

How many queries are needed to distinguish a truncated random permutation from a random function? *Journal of Cryptology.* 

Gueron, S., Langley, A., and Lindell, Y. (2017). AES-GCM-SIV: specification and analysis. *IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive*, 2017:168.

## References IV



#### Gueron, S. and Lindell, Y. (2017).

Better bounds for block cipher modes of operation via nonce-based key derivation.

In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, CCS '17, pages 1019–1036, New York, NY, USA. ACM.

#### Iwata, T. and Kurosawa, K. (2003). OMAC: one-key CBC MAC.

In *Fast Software Encryption, 2003,* volume 2887 of *LNCS,* pages 129–153. Springer.

## References V

- Iwata, T., Mennink, B., and Vizár, D. (2016). CENC is optimally secure. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, 2016:1087.
- Iwata, T. and Seurin, Y. (2017).
  Reconsidering the security bound of aes-gcm-siv.
  IACR Transactions on Symmetric Cryptology, 2017(4):240–267.
- Katz, J. and Shacham, H., editors (2017).

Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO 2017 - 37th Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 20-24, 2017, Proceedings, Part III, volume 10403 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer.

## References VI

- Luykx, A., Preneel, B., Tischhauser, E., and Yasuda, K. (2016).
  A MAC mode for lightweight block ciphers.
  In Peyrin, T., editor, Fast Software Encryption 23rd International
  - *Conference, FSE* 2016, *Bochum, Germany, March* 20-23, 2016, *Revised Selected Papers*, volume 9783 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 43–59. Springer.
  - Mennink, B. and Neves, S. (2017).

Encrypted davies-meyer and its dual: Towards optimal security using mirror theory.

In Katz and Shacham, 2017, pages 556–583.

## References VII

### Naito, Y. (2017).

# Blockcipher-based macs: Beyond the birthday bound without message length.

In Takagi, T. and Peyrin, T., editors, *Advances in Cryptology* – *ASIACRYPT 2017*, pages 446–470, Cham. Springer International Publishing.

### Nandi, M. (2009).

#### Fast and secure cbc-type mac algorithms.

In Dunkelman, O., editor, *Fast Software Encryption*, pages 375–393, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

## References VIII

### Patarin, J. (2010).

Introduction to mirror theory: Analysis of systems of linear equalities and linear non equalities for cryptography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2017/287. http://eprint.iacr.org/2010/287.

## **Stam**, A. J. (1978).

Distance between sampling with and without replacement. *Statistica Neerlandica*, 32(2):81–91.

#### Yasuda, K. (2011).

A new variant of PMAC: beyond the birthday bound. In *CRYPTO* 2011, pages 596–609.

## References IX

 Zhang, L., Wu, W., Sui, H., and Wang, P. (2012).
 3kf9: Enhancing 3gpp-mac beyond the birthday bound. In ASIACRYPT 2012, pages 296–312.