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## Impact on symmetric cryptography

- Exhaustive search of a $k$-bit key in time $2^{k / 2}$ with Grover's algorithm
- Common recommendation: double the key length (AES-256)
- Encryption modes are secure
[Unruh \& al, PQC'16]
- Authentication modes broken w/ superposition queries [Crypto '16]


## Overview of the talk

## Main question

Is AES secure in a quantum setting?

- Symmetric design are evaluated with cryptanalysis:
- Differential (truncated, impossible, ...)
- Linear
- Integral
- Algebraic
- ...
- We should study quantum cryptanalysis!
- Start with classical techniques
- Do we get a quadratic speedup?
- Do we need a quantum encryption oracle?
- How are different cryptanalysis techniques affected?


## Security notions: Classical

- PRF security: given access to $P / P^{-1}$, distinguishing $E$ from random
- Classical setting: classical computations
- Classical security: classical queries
- Cipher broken by adversary with
- data $\ll 2^{n}$
- time $\ll 2^{k}$
- success $>3 / 4$
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## Security notions: Quantum Q2

- PRF security: given access to $P / P^{-1}$, distinguishing $E$ from random
- Quantum setting: quantum computations
- Quantum security: quantum (superposition) queries
- Cipher broken by adversary with
- data $\ll 2^{n}$
- time $\ll 2^{k / 2}$
- success $>3 / 4$



## About the models

## Q1 model: classical queries

- Build a quantum circuit from classical values
- Example: breaking RSA with Shor's algorithm


## Q2 model: superposition queries

- Access quantum circuit implementing the primitive with a secret key
- Example: breaking CBC-MAC with Simon's algorithm
- The Q2 model is very strong for the adversary
- Simple and clean generalisation of classical oracle
- Aim for security in the strongest (non-trivial) model
- A Q2-secure block cipher is useful for security proofs of modes
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## Grover's algorithm

- Search for a marked element in a set $X$
- Set of marked elements $M$, with $|M| \geq \varepsilon \cdot|X|$


## Classical algorithm

1: loop
2: $\quad x \leftarrow \operatorname{SETUP}()$
3: if $\operatorname{CHECK}(x)$ then
4: return $x$
$\triangleright$ Pick a random element in $X$, cost $S$
$\triangleright$ Check if it is marked, cost $C$

- $1 / \varepsilon$ repetitions expected
- Complexity $(S+C) / \varepsilon$
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Grover Algorithm (as a quantum walk)
Quantum algorithm to find a marked element using:

- Setup: builds a uniform superposition of inputs in $X$
- Снеск: applies a control-phase gate to the marked elements
- Only $1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ repetitions needed
- Complexity $(S+C) / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$
- Can produce a uniform superposition of M
- Can provide an oracle without measuring (nesting)
- Variant to measure $\varepsilon$ (quantum counting)
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## Grover Algorithm (as a quantum walk)

Quantum algorithm to find a marked element using:

- Setup: builds a uniform superposition of inputs in $X$
- Снеск: applies a control-phase gate to the marked elements
- Only $1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ repetitions needed
- Complexity $(S+C) / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$
- Can produce a uniform superposition of $M$
- Can provide an oracle without measuring (nesting)
- Variant to measure $\varepsilon$ (quantum counting)


## Brute-force attack

- We can use Grover's algorithm for a quantum brute-force key search

1 Capture a few known plaintext/ciphertext: $C_{i}=E_{\kappa^{*}}\left(P_{i}\right)$
2 Setup: builds a uniform superposition of $\{0,1\}^{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =1 \\
\varepsilon=2^{-k}, C & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

3 Снеск $(\kappa)$ : test whether $C_{i}=E_{\kappa}\left(P_{i}\right)$

- Complexity $O\left(2^{k / 2}\right)$
- Quadratic gain
- Uses the Q1 model
- Classical data $\left(C_{i}, P_{i}\right)$
- Quantum circuit independant of the secret key $\kappa^{*}$
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## Differential distinguisher: classical

- Assume a differential $\delta_{\text {in }}, \delta_{\text {out }}$ given, with

$$
h:=-\log \operatorname{Pr}_{x}\left[E\left(x \oplus \delta_{\text {in }}\right)=E(x) \oplus \delta_{\text {out }}\right] \ll n,
$$

Classical algorithm: search for right pairs
1: for $0 \leq i<2^{h}$ do
2: $\quad x \leftarrow \operatorname{RAND}()$
3: if $E\left(x \oplus \delta_{\text {in }}\right)=E(x) \oplus \delta_{\text {out }}$ then
4: return cipher
5: return random

- Complexity $O\left(2^{h}\right)$


## Differential distinguisher: quantum

- Assume a differential $\delta_{\text {in }}, \delta_{\text {out }}$ given, with

$$
h:=-\log \operatorname{Pr}_{x}\left[E\left(x \oplus \delta_{\text {in }}\right)=E(x) \oplus \delta_{\text {out }}\right] \ll n,
$$

Quantum algorithm: Grover search for right pair
1 Setup: builds a uniform superposition of $\{0,1\}^{n}$
$S=1$
2 Check $(x)$ : test whether $E\left(x \oplus \delta_{\text {in }}\right)=E(x) \oplus \delta_{\text {out }}$

$$
\varepsilon=2^{-h}, C=1
$$

- Complexity $O\left(2^{h / 2}\right)$
- Quadratic gain
- Uses the Q2 model
- Superposition queries to $E$ with secret key


## Last-Round attack: classical



## Classical algorithm

1: for $0 \leq i<2^{h}$ do
2: $\quad x \leftarrow \operatorname{RaND}()$
3: $\triangleright$ Filter possible output differences
$p=2^{-h} \quad 4: \quad$ if $E(x) \oplus E\left(x \oplus \delta_{\text {in }}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {fin }}$ then
5: $\quad$ Find last key candidates for ( $x, x \oplus \delta_{\text {in }}$ )
6: $\quad$ Try all possibilities for remaining key bits

## Last-Round attack: quantum Q2


$p=2^{-h_{\text {out }}}$

## Last-Round attack: quantum Q1



- Previous attack uses superposition queries
- Alternatively, make $2^{h}$ classical queries
- Interesting if $2^{h}<2^{k / 2}$
- E.g. AES-256
$p=2^{-h}$ Quantum algorithm: Grover search for right pair
1 Setup: builds superposition of classical data using quantum memory $\quad S=1$
$\boxed{2}$ Снеск( $x$ ): same as Q2

$$
\varepsilon=2^{n-h-\Delta_{\text {fin }}}, C=C_{k_{\text {out }}}^{*}+2^{\left(k-h_{\text {out }} / 2\right.}
$$

$p=2^{-h_{\text {out }}}$

$$
-\quad \quad T=2^{h}+2^{\left(h-n+\Delta_{\text {fin }}\right) / 2} \cdot\left(C_{k_{\text {out }}}^{*}+2^{\left(k-h_{\text {out }}\right) / 2}\right)
$$
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## Truncated differential distinguisher: classical

- Assume vector spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$ given $\left(\operatorname{dim} . \Delta_{\text {in }}, \Delta_{\text {out }}\right)$, with

$$
h:=-\log _{x, \delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}} \operatorname{Pr}\left[E(x \oplus \delta) \oplus E(x) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}\right] \ll n-\Delta_{\text {out }}
$$

## Classical algorithm (using structures)

1: for $0 \leq i<2^{h-2 \Delta_{\text {in }}}$ do
2: $\quad x \leftarrow \operatorname{RAND}()$
3: $\quad L \leftarrow\left\{E(x \oplus \delta): \delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right\}$
4: $\quad$ if $\exists y_{1}, y_{2} \in L$ s.t. $y_{1} \oplus y_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$ then
5: return cipher
6: return random

- Complexity $O\left(2^{h-\Delta_{\text {in }}}\right)$


## Truncated differential distinguisher: quantum

- Assume vector spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$ given $\left(\operatorname{dim} . \Delta_{\text {in }}, \Delta_{\text {out }}\right)$, with

$$
h:=-\log _{x, \delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}} \operatorname{Pr}\left[E(x \oplus \delta) \oplus E(x) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}\right] \ll n-\Delta_{\text {out }}
$$

Quantum algorithm: Grover search for structure with right pair
1 Setup: builds a uniform superposition of $\{0,1\}^{n}$
2 Снеск $(x)$ : test whether $\exists y_{1}, y_{2} \in x \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}$ s.t. $y_{1} \oplus y_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$

$$
\varepsilon=2^{-h+2 \Delta_{\text {in }}}, C=?
$$

## Finding collisions

- Fiding $y_{1}, y_{2} \in L$ s.t. $y_{1} \oplus y_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$ : truncate and find collisions


## Classical algorithm

1: Sort(L)
2: for $0<i<|L|$ do
3: $\quad$ if $L[i]=L[i+1]$ then return $L[i]$
4: return $\perp$

- Complexity Õ(N)
- Quantum walk algorithm to find collisions
- Uses memory $O\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)$


## Finding collisions

- Fiding $y_{1}, y_{2} \in L$ s.t. $y_{1} \oplus y_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$ : truncate and find collisions


## Classical algorithm

1: Sort(L)
2: for $0<i<|L|$ do
3: $\quad$ if $L[i]=L[i+1]$ then return $L[i]$
4: return $\perp$

- Complexity $\tilde{O}(N)$

Quantum algorithmic: Ambainis' element distinctness

- Quantum walk algorithm to find collisions
- Complexity $O\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)$ - less than quadratic speedup!
- Uses memory $O\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)$


## Truncated differential distinguisher: quantum

- Assume vector spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$ given $\left(\operatorname{dim} . \Delta_{\text {in }}, \Delta_{\text {out }}\right)$, with

$$
h:=-\log _{x, \delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}}\left[E(x \oplus \delta) \oplus E(x) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}\right] \ll n-\Delta_{\text {out }}
$$

Quantum algorithm: Grover search for structure with right pair
1 Setup: builds a uniform superposition of $\{0,1\}^{n}$
2 Снеск $(x)$ : test whether $\exists y_{1}, y_{2} \in x \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}$ s.t. $y_{1} \oplus y_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {out }}$

$$
\varepsilon=2^{-h+2 \Lambda_{i n}}, C=2^{2 \Lambda_{i n} / 3}
$$

- Complexity $O\left(2^{h / 2-\Delta_{\text {in }} / 3}\right)$ - less than quadratic speedup
- Uses the Q2 model
- Superposition queries to $E$ with secret key


## Last-Round attack: classical



## Last-Round attack: quantum Q2

| $\mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |

## Last-Round attack: quantum Q1



- Alternatively, use classical queries
- Filter pairs with output in $\mathcal{D}_{\text {fin }}$ classically


## Q1 algo: Grover search for structure with right pair

$$
p=2^{-h}
$$

1 Setup: builds superposition of classical data using quantum memory
2 Снеск ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ): Find last key candidates Run nested Grover over remaining key bits

$$
\varepsilon=2^{n-h-\Delta_{\text {fin }}}, C=C_{k_{\text {out }}}^{*}+2^{\left(k-h_{\text {out }}\right) / 2}
$$

$$
p=2^{-h_{\text {out }}}
$$

$$
\vdots \quad-T=2^{h-\Delta_{\text {in }}}+2^{\left(h-n+\Delta_{\text {fin }}\right) / 2} \cdot\left(C_{k_{\text {out }}}^{*}+2^{\left(k-h_{\text {out }}\right) / 2}\right)
$$

## Summary: simplified complexities

- Simple differential distinguisher

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{C}=2^{h} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=2^{h}=D_{C} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2}=\sqrt{D_{C}} \\
T_{C}=2^{h} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=2^{h}=T_{C} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2}=\sqrt{T_{C}}
\end{array}
$$

- Simple differential LR attack

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D_{C}=2^{h} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=2^{h}=D_{C} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2}=\sqrt{D_{C}} \\
T_{C}=2^{h}+C_{k} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=2^{h}+C_{k}^{*} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2}+C_{k}^{*} \approx \sqrt{T_{C}}
\end{array}
$$

- Truncated differential distinguisher

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{C} & =2^{h-\Delta_{\text {in }}} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1} & =2^{h-\Delta_{\text {in }}}=D_{C}
\end{aligned} D_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2-\Delta_{\text {in }} / 3}>\sqrt{D_{C}}
$$

- Truncated differential LR attack Assuming > 1 filtered pairs / structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{C} & =2^{h-\Delta_{\text {in }}} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=2^{h-\Delta_{\mathrm{in}}}=D_{C} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2-\left(n-\Delta_{\mathrm{fin}}\right) / 6}>\sqrt{D_{C}} \\
T_{C} & =2^{h-\Delta_{\mathrm{in}}}+C_{k} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=2^{h-\Delta_{\mathrm{in}}}+C_{k}^{*} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=2^{h / 2-\left(n-\Delta_{\mathrm{fin}}\right) / 6}+C_{k}^{*}>\sqrt{T_{C}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Concrete examples

- Truncated differential attacks have less than quadratic speedup
- Can become worse than Grover key search (not an attack)
- The best quantum attack is not always a quantum version of the best classical attack


## LAC (reduced LBlock, $n=64$ )

- Differential with probability $2^{-61.5}$
- Classical distinguisher with complexity $2^{62.5}$
- Quantum distinguisher with complexity $2^{31.75}$
- Truncated differential with $\Delta_{\text {in }}=12, \Delta_{\text {out }}=20,2^{h}=2^{-44}+2^{-55.3}$
- Classical distinguisher with complexity $2^{60.9}$
- Quantum distinguisher with complexity $2^{33.4}$


## Concrete examples

- Truncated differential attacks have less than quadratic speedup
- Can become worse than Grover key search (not an attack)
- The best quantum attack is not always a quantum version of the best classical attack

KLEIN-64 $(n=64)$

- Truncated differential with $h=69.5, \Delta_{\text {in }}=16, \Delta_{\text {fin }}=32, k=64$, $k_{\text {out }}=32, h_{\text {out }}=45$
- Classical attack with complexity $2^{58.2}$
- Quantum attack with complexity > $2^{32}$


## Concrete examples

- Truncated differential attacks have less than quadratic speedup
- Can become worse than Grover key search (not an attack)
- The best quantum attack is not always a quantum version of the best classical attack

KLEIN-96 ( $n=64$ )

- Truncated differential with $h=78, \Delta_{\text {in }}=32, \Delta_{\text {fin }}=32, k=96$, $k_{\text {out }}=48, h_{\text {out }}=52$
- Classical attack with complexity $2^{90}$
- Q2 attack with complexity $2^{47.3}$
- Q1 attack with complexity $2^{47.96}$


## Conclusions

- We fixed some mistakes from the ToSC version
- Updated version on arXiv:1510.05836
- Quantification of classical attacks using Grover and Ambainis
- Differential, truncated differential and linear cryptanalysis
- "It's complicated"
- Up to quadratic speedup
- If key search is the best classical attack, Grover key search is the best quantum attack
- Data complexity can only be reduced using quantum queries
- Cipher with $k>n$ are most likely to see quadratic speedup
- Attacks with classical queries (Q1 model) possible


## Bonus slide: Linear cryptanalysis

- Linear distinguisher

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{C} & =1 / \varepsilon^{2} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1} & =1 / \varepsilon^{2}=D_{C}
\end{aligned} \quad D_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=1 / \varepsilon=\sqrt{D_{C}}
$$

- Linear attack with $\ell r$-round distinguishers (Matsui 1)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D_{C}=1 / \varepsilon^{2} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=\ell / \varepsilon^{2}>D_{C} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=\ell / \varepsilon>\sqrt{D_{C}} \\
T_{C}=\ell / \varepsilon^{2}+2^{k-\ell} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=\ell / \varepsilon^{2}+2^{(k-\ell) / 2} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=\ell / \varepsilon+2^{(k-\ell) / 2}>\sqrt{T_{C}}
\end{array}
$$

- Last-round linear attack (Matsui 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{C} & =1 / \varepsilon^{2} & D_{\mathrm{Q} 1} & =1 / \varepsilon^{2}=D_{C} \\
T_{C} & =C_{k} & T_{\mathrm{Q} 1} & =1 / \varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{C_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

