# Algebraic Attack on FHE-Friendly Cipher HERA Using Multiple Collisions

Fukang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Abul Kalam<sup>2</sup>, Santanu Sarkar<sup>2</sup>, Willi Meier<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
<sup>2</sup>Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India
<sup>3</sup>FHNW, Windisch, Switzerland

FSE 2024

### Overview

1 FHE-friendly Stream Cipher HERA

- Description of HERA
- Revisiting Designers' Analysis
- Observations
- 2 New Attacks on HERA
  - New Attack Framework
  - Offline Phase
  - Online Phase
  - Solving Equations

#### 3 Summary

# Background of HERA

- A stream cipher friendly to the CKKS FHE scheme (Asiacrypt 2021).
- SPN-based cipher with a (simple) randomized key schedule.

No third party cryptanalysis so far.



# Description of HERA

• 
$$(a_1, \ldots, a_{16}) = (1, \ldots, 16).$$
  
• Cubic S-box  $S(x) = x^3$  over prime fields  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with  $p > 2^{16}.$   
•  $M \in \mathbb{F}_p^{16 \times 16}$  is a fixed invertible matrix.  
•  $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_{16}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{16}$  is the secret key.  
•  $(c_{0,1}, \ldots, c_{r,16}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{16 \times (r+1)}$  are randomly generated constants.  
•  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{16}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{16}$  is the keystream.



# Description of HERA

- Providing  $\lambda \in \{80, 128, 192, 256\}$  bits of security
- The length of nonce and cnt is related to  $\lambda$ :

$$\mathsf{IV} = \mathsf{nonce} ||\mathsf{cnt} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lambda + rac{\lambda}{2}}.$$

Procedure to generate the keystream:

**1** Generate  $(c_{0,1}, \ldots, c_{r,16}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{16 \times (r+1)}$  seeded with IV.

**2** Generate the keystream by running the encryption algorithm.



# Revisiting Designers' Analysis

Straightforward linearization attack:

- $z_i = f_{IV}(k_1, \ldots, k_{16})$  where  $f_{IV}$  is of degree  $3^r$ .
- Use sufficiently many IV to generate about  $\binom{16+3^r}{3^r}$  equations  $z_i = f_{\text{IV}}(k_1, \dots, k_{16})$ .
- Solve the equations in (k<sub>1</sub>,..., k<sub>16</sub>) by simple Gaussian elimination (each monomial is renamed as a new variable).

#### Reason:

At most (<sup>16+3<sup>r</sup></sup>) monomials for a polynomial in 16 variables of degree 3<sup>r</sup>.

# Revisiting Designers' Analysis

■ Complexity analysis:

Time complexity of the linearization attack on *r*-round HERA:

$$\mathcal{T}(r,\omega) = egin{pmatrix} 16+3^r \ 3^r \end{pmatrix}^\omega,$$

where  $2 \le \omega \le 3$  is the algebra constant.

■ Secure parameters:

Select the minimal r such that

$$\mathcal{T}(r,2) = \binom{16+3^r}{3^r}^2 > 2^{\lambda}.$$

## Revisiting Designers' Analysis

■ Parameters for HERA:

| λ | 80 | 128 | 192 | 256 |
|---|----|-----|-----|-----|
| r | 4  | 5   | 6   | 7   |

Cost to break *r* rounds of HERA with different  $(r, \omega)$ :

| $\lambda$       | 80               | 128              | 192              | 256              |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| r               | 4                | 5                | 6                | 7                |
| brute force     | $p^{16}$         | $p^{16}$         | $p^{16}$         | $p^{16}$         |
| $T_0(r, 2)$     | $2^{119}$        | 2 <sup>167</sup> | 2 <sup>217</sup> | 2 <sup>267</sup> |
| $T_0(r-1,2)$    | 2 <sup>76</sup>  | $2^{119}$        | $2^{167}$        | $2^{217}$        |
| $T_0(r, 2.8)$   | 2 <sup>167</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>303</sup> | 2 <sup>374</sup> |
| $T_0(r-1, 2.8)$ | $2^{107}$        | $2^{167}$        | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>303</sup> |
| $T_0(r-2,2.8)$  | 2 <sup>59</sup>  | $2^{107}$        | $2^{167}$        | 2 <sup>234</sup> |
| $T_0(r, 3)$     | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>325</sup> | 2 <sup>401</sup> |
| $T_0(r-1,3)$    | $2^{114}$        | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>325</sup> |
| $T_0(r-2,3)$    | 2 <sup>63</sup>  | $2^{114}$        | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> |

If we can can set up equations of degree  $3^{r-1}$  for *r*-round HERA:

- **1** HERA can be broken under  $\omega = 2$ .
- **2** Security margin will be reduced to 1 round under  $\omega \in \{2.8, 3\}$ .

| λ               | 80               | 128              | 192              | 256              |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| r               | 4                | 5                | 6                | 7                |
| brute force     | $p^{16}$         | $p^{16}$         | $p^{16}$         | $p^{16}$         |
| $T_0(r, 2)$     | $2^{119}$        | $2^{167}$        | 2217             | 2 <sup>267</sup> |
| $T_0(r-1,2)$    | 2 <sup>76</sup>  | 2 <sup>119</sup> | 2 <sup>167</sup> | 2 <sup>217</sup> |
| $T_0(r, 2.8)$   | $2^{167}$        | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>303</sup> | 2 <sup>374</sup> |
| $T_0(r-1, 2.8)$ | $2^{107}$        | 2 <sup>167</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>303</sup> |
| $T_0(r-2,2.8)$  | 2 <sup>59</sup>  | 2 <sup>107</sup> | $2^{167}$        | 2 <sup>234</sup> |
| $T_0(r, 3)$     | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>325</sup> | 2 <sup>401</sup> |
| $T_0(r-1,3)$    | $2^{114}$        | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>325</sup> |
| $T_0(r-2,3)$    | 2 <sup>63</sup>  | 2 <sup>114</sup> | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> |

# A New Attack Framework for HERA

Main idea:

Set up a low-degree (< 3<sup>r</sup>) equation in (k<sub>1</sub>,..., k<sub>16</sub>) from a keystream pair (z, z') rather than a single z.

■ Overall procedure:

- Offline phase: Find sufficiently many good input pairs (IV, IV') by the offline computation.
- **2** Online phase: For each input pair (IV, IV'), compute the corresponding output pair (z, z'). If (z, z') satisfy certain conditions, we can set up some low-degree equations in k.
- **3** Solving equations: After collecting many low-degree equations, we solve them with the linearization technique.

# Analysis

■ How to define good IV pairs?

■ We aim to find a good pair (*c<sub>r</sub>*, *c'<sub>r</sub>*) generated from (IV, IV'), respectively, such that the corresponding (*c<sub>r</sub>*, *c'<sub>r</sub>*) can satisfy certain conditions, where

$$c_r = (c_{r,1}, \ldots, c_{r,16}), \ c'_r = (c'_{r,1}, \ldots, c'_{r,16}).$$



For the last-round S-box, we have  $w_{r,i} = S(y_{r-1,i}) = y_{r-1,i}^3$ Case 1: if  $w_{r,i} = w'_{r,i}$ we have  $y_{r-1,i} = y'_{r-1,i}$ **Case 2**: if  $\beta \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$  is known and  $w_{r,i} = \beta w'_{r,i},$ 

we have

$$y_{r-1,i} = \beta^{\frac{1}{3}} y'_{r-1,i}.$$

■ As y<sub>r-1,i</sub> and y'<sub>r-1,i</sub> are polynomials in k of degree 3<sup>r-1</sup>, in both cases, we can set up an equation in k of degree 3<sup>r-1</sup> for r-round HERA.

(1)

**Goal**: check from (z, z') whether the following equation holds:

$$w_{r,i} = \beta w_{r,i}'.$$

Relation:

$$w_r = M^{-1}(z - c_r \cdot k) = M^{-1}(z) - M^{-1}(c_r \cdot k),$$
  

$$\to w_{r,i} = M^{-1}(z)[i] - M^{-1}(c_r \cdot k)[i]$$
(2)

where  $c_r \cdot k$  denotes the element-wise multiplication.

 Question: w<sub>r,i</sub> cannot be known without guessing k, how is it even possible to check

$$w_{r,i} = \beta w'_{r,i}$$

and compute  $\beta$ ?

$$w_{r,i} = M^{-1}(z)[i] - M^{-1}(c_r \cdot k)[i].$$

• Our solution: turn to checking conditions:

$$(c_{r,1},\ldots,c_{r,16}) = (\beta c'_{r,1},\ldots,\beta c'_{r,16}), \quad (3)$$
  
$$M^{-1}(z)[i] = \beta \times M^{-1}(z')[i], \quad (4)$$

which requires no knowledge of k.

 Offline phase: (c<sub>r</sub>, c'<sub>r</sub>) are generated from an XOF seeded with (IV, IV'), respectively, which dose not depend on k, and hence

$$(c_{r,1},\ldots,c_{r,16}) = (\beta c'_{r,1},\ldots,\beta c'_{r,16})$$

can be checked at the offline phase.

 Online phase: computing (z, z') requires to call the encryption algorithm and hence

$$M^{-1}(z)[i] = \beta \times M^{-1}(z')[i],$$

can only be checked at the online phase.

$$w_{r,i} = M^{-1}(z)[i] - M^{-1}(c_r \cdot k)[i].$$

**Goal**: compute  $\beta$  such that

$$w_{r,i} = \beta w_{r,i}'.$$

• **Relaxed conditions**: by guessing  $n_1$  words, e.g., guessing  $(k_1, \ldots, k_{n_1})$ , we only need conditions

$$(c_{r,n_1+1},\ldots,c_{r,16}) = (\beta c'_{r,n_1+1},\ldots,\beta c'_{r,16}),$$
 (5)

$$M^{-1}(z)[i] - \delta = \beta \times (M^{-1}(z')[i] - \delta'),$$
 (6)

where

$$\delta = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} M^{-1}[i][j]c_{r,j}k_j, \quad \delta' = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} M^{-1}[i][j]c_{r,j}'k_j.$$

#### **Drawback**: Overhead caused by guessing *n*<sub>1</sub> key variables:

$$p^{n_1} imes egin{pmatrix} 16 - n_1 + 3^{r-1} \ 3^{r-1} \end{pmatrix}^{\omega}.$$

.

### **Offline Phase**

■ Goal: find (IV, IV') such that

$$(c_{r,n_1+1},\ldots,c_{r,16}) = (\beta c'_{r,n_1+1},\ldots,\beta c'_{r,16}),$$
 (7)

which is equivalent to finding the following collision

$$(1, \frac{c_{r,n_1+2}}{c_{r,n_1+1}}, \dots, \frac{c_{r,16}}{c_{r,n_1+1}}) = (1, \frac{c_{r,n_1+2}'}{c_{r,n_1+1}'}, \dots, \frac{c_{r,16}'}{c_{r,n_1+1}'}).$$
(8)

 #collisions: suppose 2<sup>b</sup> different such collisions are required. Let

$$\ell = (15 - n_1) \times \lceil \log_2 p \rceil,$$

we need to test  $2^{\frac{b+\ell+1}{2}}$  different IV.

Cost:

$$T_{\text{offline}} = 2^{\frac{b+\ell+1}{2}}.$$
 (9)

# **Online Phase**

Procedure:

- Generate the corresponding (z, z') under each good (IV, IV').
- For each guess of  $(k_1, \ldots, k_{n_1})$ , compute

$$\delta = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} M^{-1}[i][j]c_{r,j}k_j, \quad \delta' = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} M^{-1}[i][j]c'_{r,j}k_j.$$

and check the following condition

$$\exists i: \ M^{-1}(z)[i] - \delta = \beta \times (M^{-1}(z')[i] - \delta'), \qquad (10)$$

If Eq.(10) holds (with probability of about <sup>16</sup>/<sub>p</sub>), we set up an equation of degree 3<sup>r-1</sup> until in total

$$\binom{16 - n_1 + 3^{r-1}}{3^{r-1}}$$

equations are collected.

# Solving Equations

Solve the system of

$$\binom{16-n_1+3^{r-1}}{3^{r-1}}$$

equations in  $(k_{n_1+1}, \ldots, k_{16})$  of degree  $3^{r-1}$  with Gaussian elimination.

Cost of online phase + solving equations:

$$T_{\text{online}} = p^{n_1} \times 2^{b+1} + p^{n_1} \times {\binom{16 - n_1 + 3^{r-1}}{3^{r-1}}}^{\omega}.$$
 (11)

## Additional Constraints

Additional constraints: due to the length of nonce and cnt, the following constraints should be satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} 3\lambda \ge b + \ell + 1\\ \frac{16}{p} \times 2^b \ge \begin{pmatrix} 16 - n_1 + 3^{r-1}\\ 3^{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ b + 1 \le \frac{\lambda}{2} \end{cases}$$
(12)

#### Results

Table: Summary of the time complexity of our successful attacks on various parameters of under  $\omega \in \{2, 2.8, 3\}$ .

| $\lambda$ | Rounds   |     | $\lceil \log_2 p \rceil$ |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|-----------|----------|-----|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|           |          |     | 17                       | 18               | 19               | 20               | 21               | 22               | 23               | 24               | 25               | 26               | 27               | 28               |
|           | 6 (full) | 2   | 2185                     | 2187             | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                |
| 192       | 5        | 2.8 | 2 <sup>167</sup>         | 2 <sup>175</sup> | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2 <sup>180</sup> | 2 <sup>187</sup> | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                |
|           | 5        | 3   | 2 <sup>179</sup>         | 2 <sup>179</sup> | 2183             | 2 <sup>191</sup> | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                |
|           | 7 (full) | 2   | 2217                     | 2224             | 2225             | 2226             | 2227             | 2 <sup>228</sup> | 2229             | 2 <sup>243</sup> | 2245             | 2247             | 2 <sup>249</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> |
| 256       | 6        | 2.8 | 2 <sup>234</sup>         | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>234</sup> | 2 <sup>235</sup> | 2 <sup>243</sup> | 2 <sup>249</sup> | 2 <sup>250</sup> | $2^{251}$        |
|           | 6        | 3   | 2 <sup>251</sup>         | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | 2 <sup>251</sup> | -                | -                |

**1** HERA with  $\lambda \in \{80, 128\}$  is not affected by the attacks.

- 2 For  $\lambda \in \{192, 256\}$ , we can break some parameters under  $\omega = 2$ .
- **3** For  $\lambda \in \{192, 256\}$ , the security of some variants of HERA are reduced to only 1 round under  $\omega \in \{2.8, 3\}$ .

## Future Research

Can we apply the new insight into HERA to the cryptanalysis of FHE-friendly cipher Rubato, which also takes a randomized key schedule, but has an extremely small number of rounds, e.g. 2 rounds?

Several obstacles:

- 1 larger prime fields ( $p \approx 2^{26}$ ).
- 2 larger state (16, 36, 64 state words).
- 3 noise in the keystream.